#### SacksTierney P.A.

ATTORNEYS

Ellen K. Aik
James W. Armstro
Adrian L. Bart
Steven R. Beeghl
Stephen Aron Bensc..
Brian E. Ditsch
Judith M. Dworkin
Patty A. Ferguson
Roxann S. Gallagher
Steven M. Goldstein



Michael C. Lamb Stephen A. Lenn Jeffrey S. Leonard Shannon M. Mason Matthew B. Meaker James S. Samuelson Sharon B. Shively Allyson J. Teply David C. Tierney Matthew F. Winter

Seymour Sacks (1932 - 2011)

Marvin S. Cohen (1931 - 2009)

Gary E. Pace, CLM, Executive Director

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

T MERITAS

October 6, 2014

OCT 0 6 2014

VIA HAND DELIVERY

DOCKETED BY

Writer's Direct Line 480.425.2673 While is Direct Facsimils: 480.425.4973

Writer's E-mail: Roxann.Gallagher@S4ck\$Tierney.com

DHMISSION

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc.
Docket No. SW-01303A-09-0343

N-01303A-09-0343

To Whom It May Concern:

ORIGINAL

Attached for consideration by the Commissioners and for inclusion in the above-reference docket are approximately 1,389 signatures of Anthem residents urging the Commission to reject reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District for the reasons set forth in the attached. Original signatures are available for examination at the Commission's request.

Sincerely,

Roxann S. Gallagher Sacks Tierney P.A.

Copy of the foregoing mailed this (a) day of October, 2014 to:

Service List for Docket No. SW-013-03A-09-0343



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDKESS	DATE
Brooke Johnson	Britchner	3393WTHCreain Anthom, AZESS	9/4/14
Julie Bell	<b>2000</b>	anthem at 85086	9/4/14
Can Ben	5	40103 n. fatnot iriu Unthem at 850814	9/04/14
_	Barbara Bennieni	41929 M. Celebration	9/5/14
KEN WOJTANGE		ANTREM, AZ	9/5/16
JUDITH WOJTANEK		Onther ax 85086	9/5/14
	Susan Litefall	arthon an 8586	9/5/14
	Mildelprugue	1100 ( ) D D ( )	wa 9/5/1
7			



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
ELISA METOD	H Elen Myt	MAIL AMAIN	089/2/14
Yest & MERN	64 APDAM	TML AZ	9/2/14
Lynne R. Quens		39624 N. Stadow CrK. Anthem, AZ 85086	W19/3/14
Susan May Field	Susan Mayfield	3665 W. Magellan	9/3/14
Dennis Claro	Dele	39732 N. Lust Legent D.	9/3/14
Stephanie Consott	Thiseall	2036 W Clearview Td. Anthem, A7 85086	9-3-14
Cyaldia Allow	& Rhe	Mosis N. Cond/2 wyck	
Rilly Gens	XX my	40972 NBAMNIMM ANTHUM, AZ 75481	
			17



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
MIKE BUNGS	mo	4329 U POWEN PM	08/23/2014
Jest Tredema		41010 D. Presteurste Dr.	8/23/04
Rollin Harfr		4509 W Venture Dr.	8/25/14
JustinHerr	Custo Gin	41722 N. Mass Sping	8/23/14
Dinne Broomak	(V) +50	40203 N. DAILhurst Anthem A285086	
JARRO BLOOMALL	Dent But	40203 N. OAKHUEST Ct. Anthem	8/24/14
Jacob Branal	Sacres Brownell		9-7-14
mppk D Roomere	20	HUYOran AZ Sout	9-8-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	S	IGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Treat Horres	1,5	36/11	40833 N Capra wwy	8-23-14
Brian Crampton	mi	4	43822 N. 44th well	8-/23/14
Danzon Blask	0	Ru	43806 N 44th Care	181651161 1
Je Long <	A	3	39532 N. Hilleman way	8-23-19
COLLIN KEMMEDY	self a	ul 1	2573 W. WYYNE LN ANTHER, AZ SERSE	8/23/19
TURONNEJOM		mel	4431 Howen	8/23/14
ROBERT MEGAS		IJ melden	4407 W KASTIER G	18/23/19
Miles Basik	$\sim$		742908 N 46 tre	8/23/14
			7.7	,



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
9.	DARREN Moore	Harran Morre	40308 N. CAPRA WAY- 85086	8/16/14
	KRISTINA ALLOSTOF	Keisty Cheiston	40423 N. Capes Way 85086	8/16/14
11.	BRIOT WILLDEN	Butto	3034 W Soven Cl	8/16/14
12.	Con Auge	112	40320 N. Holak (1 Anthon Az 8086	8/16/14
13.	Brad Torrant	Batanat	3820 W. Ashton D. Authem AZ 85086	8/16/14
14.	David Crowll	Pul Con	3052 W. 5005act Autum Az 85664	8/16/14
15.	Jum Son	Mynn Stal	3060 WMYLS U- Ponthan on 85086	811414
14.	Keim Stat	Rum Stal	Anney to 8 USI	814/4



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
ĺ	Tami McIlnays	Sami Milhan	40202 N. Oakhurster Anthem, 85086	8/12/14
2	Puns rapp	Duntina	2400 N. Curie Ct Anthem 85086	8-16-14
New BOYER	, my Por	manne	40208 N. Oakhurst	8-16-14
4	Kennet Goldsnitt	Kennet Cold	40214 NOakhurst	t 8-16-14
5	KEITH MCBEAN	Kert McBer	3060 W'OWENS ANTHEM AZ 85086	9-16-14
6	MELINON LEHMAN	Marie & Lerman	3054 W. OWENS DR Brottem, DZ 85086	08/16/14
7	Jason Lehman	my	3054 W, OWERS Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	08/16/14
8	Many Lynn Mrok	Marydyn Mok	3047 W. DWENS Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	08/16/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
SidneyJohnson	Sidney John	3060 V Morsect Anthem, AZ 85086	8-16-14
Trojkust -		3063 W. Mayer FIRMEW \$\$ 28586	P-16-14
DEB31 Rusk	John Bash	3063 W MORSE CT ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/16/4
TATYANA TRAPP	Theren	10040 N. Ceefie Ct Antheur, A 2 85086.	8/16/14
ERIC SCHULZE	Eris Scholze	40037 N. CHRIE CT. ANTHEN AZ 85086	8/16/14
Mary Schutze	mschilse	40037 N. Curie Ct Anthem, A 2 85086	8-16-14
Spary-	To for	40034 Al MITTELL ANTHER AZ 85086	8-16-19
Shelly Page	Sheertage	40034 h. Curiect	8-16-14

## anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Nat one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Diane Estes-Com	o he so	404/3 N. Copper Basin 7 Anthem AZ 85086	R 9-9-14
Eleanor Shaner	Dan Jam	42123 N. Back Creek ( Anthem, AZ 85086	9-9-14
Jean A Heary	Dear a Healy	Onthen AZ85086	6-9-14
STAN GROWE	Stangueiro	40008 N. Messrer, Authem, AZ	9-9-14
	Milded La Laure	1	
1	u Ctafu Olim	3802 N. Pagedain	97/9-14
JOM PAESTON	Tim Preston	ANTHEM	9-10-14
Emny WRINKAE	Lawrenkle	Grothen, AZ 85086	9/10/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewoter District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater casts. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wostewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Betty Pettier	Betty L Petter	43136 N Celebration WAY, Anthem AZ 850	(1
Donald Poltier		42136 N. Celebration Anthem AZ 8518	امد د
	Styllague kaultur	39518 N. Messnerthay Anthon 12 850820	9-11-14,
Kimlembrak		1416 W. Silverpiner Anthem 85086	9.12.14
KEN OT	Ken OH	ANTHON AZ SOUSE	9-12-14
Carol Schecter	Court Shector	Anthen Dr 8085	9/12/14
Irma Imperial	Sellylise	3387 W. TAMPAN Ln. Atathem AZ 85086	9/2/14
Charissesolding	( Massolina Vi	40922 N. Hearst Dr Anthem, UZ 8508	9/10/14

D

#### anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Makan Herrish	Meddy 4 lb	304 Walterman DR	9-12-2019
Kern OCOnner	KR-	2903 W SOVER ANTHEN AT	9-12-14
Jak Congre	Mosgae	40949 W. Birst	9-13-14
Shillie Fayen	Dances	4020 W. Steinback C Anthen Az 85086	4 9-13-14
Jack Goldson	In Jan Alden	151 8 W. Spirit. Autum AT 85086	Q, 9/13/14
Sally Schiller	Solly Server	Antrem, Az 85086	9/3/14
Katie Harbeck	nabast Wack	2054 w Clear New Till Annem, AZ &5086	9/13/14
WANDA BOWLITCH	Wanda Bowled	HOSOS N Columbia Arnhem, AZ85086	4-14-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Dana Laku -	Becraft Dano Kall	ADDRESS 40634 N. Duff Candlewyckl	ane
_	pth Fin	ANGE AZ STOPE	11.
	Jania Patton	Arthum, Az 85086	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Jamo Catton	Antheon, AZ 850X	ا
Couted STEK	is Ulleen Hick	2234WSTUNTENTUL	9-16/14
!	Vanil Lephe	4030ghticke/CT	9-16-14
	27 Caroline Sullion	Anthem AZ 85086	7-17-17
TERRI TROISE		38308 D. PAGODALU ANTHON, AZ 85086	9/-17-14
			7



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consalidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Su-Ching Wong	Such	20135 N Rolling Green Way Anthem 85086	914/14.
Michael Decoule	And C	1708 W OLIENS WAY Anthon AZ 85086	9/5/14
Daniel Niño	Dad Ain	Anthem AZ 85086	9/5/14
Maiken Shafer	Clairely	19624 N Bellair City	46/14
Veronica Carnon	1600	39504 N. Daisy Myn. Dr #122-461 Anyham 85066	9-6-14
SCOTTANHERVOL		39506 N. Dusymt. D	c
KEVIN COMPOS		4008 N (on 650M) ANTHER, AZ 85086	9/6/14
Gul Da Costa	10 h	40631N DANTURE	9/6/14
		Check hear	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment focilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
MARLY JACISON-ALVA	2 May An Aus	3765 W. JACKSONVILLE ANTHIM, AZ 85086	9-2-14
Michael 1 Copie	Wille his	3925 W ANTHEW WAY ANTHEM 1 11 Z 85086	9-2-4
Deboth West	trebah & Was	2526 W Patagolia	Wel 9.2.14
JAMES Week	finelle	2526 W. Padagasia W. Anten Az 65886.	9-2-14.
Holly Tan	Choll I an	41014 N Snowhardles	9-2-14
MAREK PATYNI	Ak to todayind	337 N. Shockletan I Anthen AZ	r.9-3-14
Lucas Jackson	Anh	3781 W. Whitman Anthem. Az 85086	9/3/14
RENATO REDEY	THE	40901 N. CITRUS CANYON TRL ANTHEM, AR	9/3/14



# anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remoin true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
PETER DONNElly	Petalomel	2009 wshadooblenler anthem 55086	8-24-4
Comeson Hawley	0.42	Anthem, AZ 85081	my 8/29/19
Angelo Arena	AL	3658 WAidan Cr+ Anthon Az 85086	8.31-14
Tre Scimen		Anthem AZ ESOSC	8-31-18
unterporty	Wast Hele	39636 K 65 Tlogar	39-1-14
Mara ang	John Sedel	39696 V. Lest Le 60 Aut Len 4788	D 9-1-14
Marin Rosental	al Reell	3329 Honor C+ Anthem 85086	9-2.14
Lindsay Sharen		April N union tri	9/2/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS ,	DATE
A. THOMAS	Alex Horge	Anthen  Anthen	9/3/14
M. Kulakowski	Marken	46417 N. Rolling Green Way	9/5/14
A. Thompson	ASr	35437 N BYLENIA 85054	9/5/14
J COLEMAN	2 al	42016N ANHAY HOTS 80086	9/5/14
M SE-PACINO	MS	41522 Anthem Ridge way	9/5/14
Debra L. Jordan	Delux Gordan	2747 W. Plum Hollow Dr ANThem AZ	9-5-14
Fore J. Nowal	Thous	Suther Az	
Mark S Robert	mit	Author AZ 85086	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

Penis Seelye Long Tong Houthern, AZ 8/1/20 SARBARA DOSE Parhara lose! ANTHEM 8/2/	_/
SARBARA JOSÉ Parbara Joses ANTHEH 8/2/	2014
1/2200 01 1000 114	- ' /
	12014
NANCY MOVAY NAMERY Way ANTHEN, O 8-13-	2014
Patricia Lewis Potricia Lewis Drive. Driven 18/13	2014
Borot land for the BACIC Center 8/18/	4
Bryant Evals Litary And Hothem, Az. 85086 8/22	114
Shavon FURB Sharon wars Anthon, 42,85086 8/22	//4



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Jeannette Brighten	Jeanste Brighton	40000N Alistoryula Anthen, AZ 85086	\$ 8-14-2014
	121 , Mill I ( b	40008NHavkKidgeTh And Lenn AZ85086	8/1X/20A
) / A ·	berg Nothy Osters	41423 N. Fairgreen Authem. Az 85080	
Swettoffman	10 40	42029 & Apology 100011 Unthen AZ 85086	
Magazet Long	1/2 ( PO)	1848 to ceopsled Ct. Antheny AZ-85086	8-14-7019
STEVE LONG	Jest .	1848 W. Webster ct ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-14-2014
Barbara/bidrase	K & Condrasel	42011 NOrledonia Anthem, 1285086	8-14-2019
Estelle Boxtsd		2463 W. Shadow Glen Cf. Anthem A285086	' / '
/		/ / /	•



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geagraphically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Christine	Christie	183 Z W. Eastman	8-20-14
McClymonds	Mccle	Anthen 42	8-2- 19
WILLIAM		3823 W. MINENCZ	G 1. ///
EYENS	ammy	ANTHEM, AZ. 8086	8-20-14
LAN 117MI	Land	2761 w. Abvertue	
WOH	HOLL	auther, as	8-21-15
M and Markey	40000/P	42823N LIVINGSTONE	31 /
Chery Kongler	Walshing.	amin az 85086	8/21/14
		39617 White Tall:	h aladed
AWMILLITA IW	1/1/ muly /	anhym 850 56	' 8/21/14
MANAMARA		41409 NOWAR CASUM	10/25.14
MITTOWATHION	IM NWN MINING	anthem, 850810	18,25.14
T. D -++	Da 05	1545 W. Spirit Dr.	elan /
Jim Denney	(ffrom XXI)	Anthen, AZ	0/24/14
11.1 1 1	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1545 SAVIT AL	1 //
Lindsay Dennell	Muly Klauly	myan 12	18/22/19
/		•	, , ,



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Thomas F. Cook	Thomas F. Cook	43327 North VIST2 HILLS AnThem, 42 85086	¥. 8-18-14
Lynn Zills	Sym forth	Antheau Londy	8-18-14
CLIMIONGASCUP	IA CHARLES	ANTIOCH ANTIOCH	8/19/14
David Rose	Na Dlove	41114N. Sutter LN	8/19/16
Michael Palacios	MA DELLA	ADERE N HUDSON	6-19-16
John Dypettures	Collegell	Anthen Az 85086	8/19/14
Christin Spir	a C Spring	July N. Launel Valley C.	8/20/14
DIANE BULL	Win Bou	40127 N. Gershmi	8/20/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
W. Sewell	a. V Lower	1914 West Turtle Anthen AZ	1411 (our 4)
D. WOOLFORD	Dian Wooding	41251 N. ROLLING SREEN WAY	
S. Rushin	She Rushin	41826 N. Emerald Anthem, AZ	(ake Dr 9/4/14
RAYMOON	DIDOHUTO	2731 ESTMUN DR. ANTHOM: AZ	9 14 114
1	In Angeoie	3828 W. Astron de GAThen. Az 85086	
Jacque Dallenback	again allerban	1422 W. Whitman Ct Anthem Az. 85086	9-5-2014
Sonah Heur	The He	Anthem AZ 8580	9-5-204
Albert Dykes	alestagh	42233 N Stonemark D. Authon AZ 85886	9-5-204



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Aguo Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

3	, 6		af
PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Rikanlundoa	Dellendox	2347 W. SUNG	8/27/14
Michelle Bartlett	Michell Baitlett	HIBLAN. Exploration Tr Anthum, AZ 85084	8/28/14
Jessica Nichola	J. Nicholas	3620 WKellerCt Anthem 85086	8-28-14
BousseDEViu	RR	1641 W SILVER PINZ ANTHOM AZ	8-28-14
Jim Rhodes		41233 North River Bull	1 8-28-14
GERMO Ma CAND	Chha Cun	40217 N. TANGLE RIGGE ANTHON AZ	8-28-14
Dorothy McCann	Carolly Mann		
Hedricka Hunter			

## anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Jereny Naranjo	Jenry Newy	42817 N Ericson Ln Anthem 25086	8/18/14
	Miona Ylus	42158 N. Manthe Way Rishem A7 25086	8-18-14
VAUghaw Harma	1 \ 1 \$ \$	Ruthern AZ 25086 1925 W Whitewat	
Debbie Bantz		42424 N.GAVI On PKP4	1
Wulandari Naughton		42924 N. VISTA HILLS Anthem AZ 55080	48-19-14
Laura Donnelly	Lang Domes	3623 W. Americo Ct Anthen AZ PSOPG	1 10 11
Jennifer Flynn		39911 N. RiverBendrd Anthorn, to 85084	8-20-14
MATI BROW	M	4034 STO-60082	8/20/4
	1 ) (	/10 0 - 7	77

# anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAIVIE	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Jeanette Cottskalk	Leanuell Collabelle	3044 Dengli D Anthon 8786	8/17/14
Ransan Gottsaus	RSoular	Story Denale Dr. Anthern, AZ 85086	8/17/14
		2521 N SHEWNEOCK	
BETAN SMITH		WAY ANTHEM AZ 85186	081714
Hi. Hary Smith	Hillary Smith	2521 W. Shinnecock Lang Anthon, AZ 85086	
Rener Villeges	MEZ/	90434 N. Exphration Arthem, AZ 85086	8/18/14
Jane tox	Jada tou	HOWS I DONAL	2018/18/14
WALTER W. FOX	Tollege	ANTHEM, AZ	8/18/2014
Alexendra	Aldun	3311 W Morse Di	2/12/14
castellano	v V	Anthem, A2 85086	,



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geagraphically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS ,	DATE
Katherine Jane	Katherine Jane	40811 N. Jong Janday	Cf 8/19/2019
Hedman.	Hedman	anthem at 85086	
Kenneth Eric Hedman	Cluveth Eve Hedrian	40811 N. Long Clanday	t8/19/201X
		Anthem, AZ85086	/ / /
MAMES V	James Merin	1999 W WAYNE LANE	
CENCIMINO ,	Same ne	ANTHEMAZ 85086	8/19/2014
Catherine R U	9 1-00	1949 W. Wayne Lane	1.1
Cencimino	Cauterine R Gensimus	Authem AZ 85086	8/19/2014
SEAN YATES	Cerlota	40937 N. W.W Wasterail	al. al
	26	Anthenda 45016	8/19/2014
11 11 - 1	M ( )	42814 N. Enisan Lan	
Heathu 1001ak	Weather topy	Anthem AZ YSOS	8-19-2014
D 10. M 1/1/	Konow Herk	39817 N RIVER BEND Rd	col-1
MONALD MEEKINS	Konow yell	Anthem Az \$5086	8/20/14
M - 21 1/2	( ) back	39817 N.K. VEN BEN	8/22/11
LIONI (UDANKS	Com Enbants	39817 N. K. VER BEN, anthon dz 8508	8/20/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
	- A A	2-755 W. Eastman	
MARYLBAILers	Mary J. Balleys	anthem , 9, 85036	8-18-14
PHILIPL. COMINI	Many L Ballers	anthem a 85036 1535 WLAUREL GREE	158/18/14
, and a factor	Jemy Zyerns 12	ANTHEM AZ 85086	0/10/11
RADOU ILLATOR	And the	40817 N LONG LANDING	
BARRY HUTTON	presignation	ANTHEM, AZ 85086	a8/18/14
		408/7 N, LONG /ANDOG	, '
KATHRYW HUTTON	Kath p Flotte	ANTHON AZ 85086	8/18/14
I	1 /1 / /	AMEN AZ 8,506	0/18/11
TODO WAND	Myde	Aurum AZ 85006	8/18/14
		2222 N. ST. EXUPERYO	h <sub>2</sub> —
pra Watts (	pop Wills	ANTHEM AZ85084	18/18/14
	•	1703 West ausworth	<i>71</i> .
Barbara Becker	Darbay Duren	andlem, Az 85084	0/14/14
		1703 West Ginsworth	
Don Berker	Won Buly	Anthem, AZ	9/19/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
DOUG NEWSTROM	Drawn.	2331 W. SHINNECOCKE ANTHEM, AZ BSOBG	T 8/18/14
Amandalumbre	Amarka Limbel	41304 N Reale Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/14
Jølitereira	Godi fin	42424 N. Grevilan Jea Anthem AZ 8508	8-15-14
JACK SCHROEDE	e Jackshunan	2650 W. COYOTE CREEK C ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/16/2014
Namay Shwed	w WARRY Schroede	2650 W. Coyoteca	8/16/2014
Stacee Foxx	To	40226 M. Courages Arthur, 12 85086	V/18/14
Kare Frye	Laily	39737 N High Noonly Anthem AZ 85086	an 8/18/14
MIKE ROHMAN	Mulkell	AMHEM, AZ 85086	8/18/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Aqua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DAIE
Den Marco	Donah Markon	3355 W. LINKS DR. ANTHEM, AZ 8584	8/15/2014
CONVERT IN SON	NOTON TIME		
$N_{1}$ $N_{2}$ $N_{3}$ $N_{4}$	h. hvi.	HOTOZN. LAUREL WAY WAY	
KLINGENMEVER	Indrao J. Klingenmayer	Anthen, AZ 85086	8/15/2014
Brenda L.	1 (1 i) A	13111 99 1 111/1/(00 7/4	
Bullington	Brendad Bullington	Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/2014
J	1 1	41622 N. Hudson TCL	8/15/14
Johnny Bullington	John & Bolt	AMTHEM, AZ 85086	
1 01		41811. N-Shafow Cote W	lay,
Janet Plummer	Janet Olm	Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/14
	N A A D I	arthen 1285086	
Phillip I. Pland	for your	41911 N. Shadow Dreek	8/15/14
	$\mathcal{M}$	4011 H. RELL MEXDOLL	ct.
SLITHOLD SMITH		ANTHEM, AZ	36.4
	0	3300 w King Dr	
Summer Lec	Simmer Dee	Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/14
		, ,	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remate wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
STEPHEN POTTELL	Stanforage &	ANTHEN AZ STASL	8/15/ENY
		140784 phyloble Hocker Anthon, AZ 85086	18/15/14
	V _ //	3355 W. LINKS DR	
KRISTINE MADSON	ten llen	ANTHEM AZ 85066	0/15/14
Touch & Santo	A A Calant	40604 A Candlewyck La	
JOSEPH F. JCHIES	Junean Black	Anthom, AZ 85086	8/15/2014
THE CLA	6.4 6	40604 Nandlewyck Ln	
Eileen Scates	Eilen Scales	Anthem AZ	8-15-2014
Robert Oriza	flyg.	336 \ W. Links Dr.	8-15-2014
		Huthen, AZ 85086	8-218 - 2019
Kichand Wirr	Reclaid With	41607 N. RiverBend Anthen, AZ 85086	8-15-14
		41607 N. RWEI BERD ED	
MARILYN WITT	Marely With	CANTEN AZ 8086	8-15-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has propased reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRE22	DATE
CARLOS G. ARAUZ	Many	41926 N. Moss Spring	8/13/2014
		2134 W. Nurfull Or	•
Jones B. Mattraw	Land BWolfraw	allen Az 8086	P105/11/8
Todd My Dong		2742 W Advances Anten, Ar Ster 2414 W. WEBSTERCT	8/14/13
JOAN VIED	Thied.	24,4 W. WEBSTERCT ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-14-2014
MIKE ROWS	Off	39909 N. LONG (GUBING)	teliyliy
PETER PETRONO		2441 W. LEG-ONDS WAY ANTHOH, AZ .85086	
Enjoye Perez	Engle	40115 A. Condlewyed 2/1744 PSODE	8/s/x
Colafores	A Chen	Hothern AZ 250	le Olom
Douglas Perez	Y / / /	. 7.	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua-Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE /	ADDRESS7 0	DATE
Joie Vivola	Trie Vivda	anthem A2	8/11/14
Tina Poscha	Tima Pascua	2411 W. Memicial Dr FATHOM, AZ	8/11/14
JOHN Balzer	TheBl	42424 NGavilan Peak Aw Thom, AZ85086	8/11/14
Joan Tulle A	Joan Take	ANThon AZ 8500	8/11/14
Shakon Keel	Thanon Eo. Feel	42002 N. Anthem Spa Anthem, AZ 85086	8/11/2014
	2. 8/1	42002 N ANTHON SPOST	- 1
ROBER E KEEL	Some!	ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-11-2014
LAWRENCE	Sawrene Polman	39726 N BELFAT ANTHEM HZ 8508	RWAY 6 8-11-2014
Joan L DEAD	Loon Lydan	3624 W MAGELLAND ANTHEM, AZ 85086	28-11-2014

# anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Jennifer Drew	men	40844 Citrus Canzatr anthem, Az 35081	8/22/14
LAREL Teiss.	Jan Stud	topia, a thick fixe	8/22/14
	Willen F. W grow	ANTION, AZ 85086	
WILMA J STEINHAL	Wilmand SER Starbansey	3103 40 Summer West	C.
1 ,	Janie M. Clark	42123 N. Golf Crest Anthem AZ 85086	Rd a 7 - 150
Desmond Drotos		Ho31 W. Ainsgro-th Ditten 12 85086	8/22/19
Sharon Drotos	Shaw-Dish	1631 W. Arraworth Arthon, AZ 85086	8-22-14
CHRISTY Bednar. K	Chief Redmark	41729 N. LACROSSE Anthem AZ 85086	8/22/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair canclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly nat the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Aleta R Millette	alita P. Millette	Canthen, Ch 85086	8/22/14
Larraine B. Gammen	De	1526 W. Eastman Dr. Andhom, AZ 85086	8-22-204
MARY Goil Watt	mary Stille Lett	41913 N. Club Pointe Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/22/14
MARY S. CHAMEY	Mary Chancy	12017 N. Curoluptich	8/24/14
Marcy Ryberg	Mary lyberg	39709 NIOSTLEGENA anthem Az 85084	
Allian Foret	appeters	anthem Az 850Fle 39930 N Harbar Tal Anthon Az 85086	18/23/LF
EdunadVaeney	N	HO9ZZ NNOGLEHAWKWA ANTHEM AZ 85080	8/25/14
WilliamH-Lauger	(MH) Kara	40131 NHEELOE TR ANDRUMA 2 85080	8/25/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	/ SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	<b>DATE</b>
NANAY LARSON	Nancy Larson	1709 W. ainsworth	8/21/14
Sonia Markey	Sand El.	2823 W- Whitmand	8/21/14
Wayne Johns	or May Hy	41212 N Prestan Brive-17nt May, 192	CH /45 100
MAUREEN SOLHEIT		2326 W. HAZELHUNGTI ANTHEM , AZ 85086	8-22-14
1	James Sophi	2326 W. HAZZIHURST ANTHEM, AZ 8508	DR 8-22-14
	Keid Rappus - Sieda	3660 W. Brice CT For han Hz 85086	8-22-14
1 ,	Harut M. Wagner	141628 N TIAIS HILL	8-22-14
CHARLES HEISS	11 11	ANTHEM AZ. 85086	8-22-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
DOMNIA R GLOSHEN	Donna R Stocken	42415N BAUK CREEK WY ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-14-14
JAMES M. GLUSHEN	10 , /	ANTHEM AZ BSD86	8/4/204
Mary J. McRobert	Men mc Robert	3526 W Sousa Rothem, AZ 85086	8/20/14
Mary Lou Moseke	Land on the	3554W. Steinbeck Ct. Anthern, Az 85086	8-20-14
away number	Russ of more	3554 W. Steinbeck Ct. Anthem, AZ 85086	8-20-14
Brahana Demoster	Sillmester	40833 D. Hearst De An them H2 8086	8-20-14
Pamela Booth	Hamela Both	1731 W. CATE A	vens way
Danielle Gonez	1 Que Soex	40728 N. Boone In. Anthorn AZ 85086	8/22/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem 'Tour Stria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
MARK NOTHWEIL	Mark plante	41409 NRIVERDENDA ANTHEMAZ 850	
Pamela Sue Dunph		42404 N. Crosswater W Anthem. A.Z. 85086	
KathynBrown		Cuther AZ 85080	9 8/25/14
Stepher Mc Logh		A126 V Conten Deve	8/25/14
Tim Thraites		1610 WARINGHOTTH Dr ANTHEW AZ 65086	8/25/14
Swoff Stone	Sweethouse	40611 N PUNECT	8/25/14
Shannon Desmont		40920 N Maidstra Way Andrem, AZ 8D86	8/24/14
Marty Dung		Anthen Africa	8/21/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would couse the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria

SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
00	2538 W. MUIR FIELD OR	
Coureme Marlas	ANTHEMAZ 85086	8-25-204
	2538 W. Mundield Wi	0
Neborah Manley	anthem, Az 85086	8-25-2614
4 11 Th	2831 W. Reidy Cue	1 – – 1
Coy Winds		
Sames Winter	1 2 2 2	08/25/2014
	WORDS N HIGH NOW	a dada w
	WAY-ANHONAZ.8508	8 8/83/2014
11/11/0-14	40,30,2 N. High Now W AVI	
DEFENT. Wryft		1
0	2723 W. Flum Hollo	W 51 -1 111
(and m. Hider	Dr. Anthem AZ85086	8/25/14
16/1.	43172 N. Outer Bask	Walnulie
IN MYN	Anthem, AZ 85096	1 8/26/14
	Rusene Marlas Deborah Manley	Devene Marly 2538 W. Muir FIELD M. Devene Marly Az 85086  2538 W. Muirida No. Az 85086  2538 W. Muirida No. Az 85086  2831 W. REEDY Creek D. ANTHEM, AZ 85086  40302 N. Migh Now W. May - ANTHON AZ. 85086  Wester M. Way & May - ANTHON AZ. 85086  Wester M. Way & May - ANTHON AZ. 85086  2723 W. Plum Hollo  Carol M. Hider D. Anthem AZ 85086



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

909 W. Hazelhurst Dr	PRINTED NAME
Kotherine Brown Patherne Braun 2937 W Whitmon Ct 8-15-20 Anthem AZ 85086 8-15-20 Anthem AZ 85086 8-26-20 Sarah Valler Sund Paul Laller Anthem AZ 85086 8-26-20 Lance Sieber Jun Lell Anthem AZ 85086 8-26-26 Anthem Heights 200 Anthem 58286 8-26-26	JULIE R. BEAUVANS
Sarah Vallery Smalla llef Anthem Az 85086 8-26-26  Sarah Vallery Smalla llef Anthem, AZ 85086 8-26-26  Lance Sieber Am Lell Anthem 15086 8-26-26  Solve Sieber Am Lell Anthem 55086 8-26-26	Katherine Braun
Sarah Valler gual allet 2949 W. whitmand 8-26-20 January Soll 8-26-20 January Anthem 17 80810 8-26-20 January Sieber July Lell Anthem 55286 8-26-30 909 W. Hazelhurst Dr.	
brice Sieber Au Liel 42028 Anthem Height 8-06-300 Anthem 58286 8-06-300 909 W. Hazelhurst Dr	Sarahvallery
909 W. Hazelhurst Dr	۱ ،
Sondra K. Levine & marginular Anthem. AZ 25086 18-26-14	Sondra R. Levine
13265 Choes absolution 3009 W. Summitual 8/26/K	1305 hours
Kanna Emgerald y 1 40924 N. Parker Ct 8/26/14	Kanna Fragerald

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
LARRY BULL ATOWSK	Inother	1610 DION AND	8/26/14
Shelly Gostf.	stella Joet	3108 W. Summitwake Anthem 27 85086	8-26-14
Toda Gotsch	Coold that	Authem, AZ ESCEG	8-27-14
MARY JACOBSEA	Mary Jawan	2135 W Stonewall anthon AZ 85084	8.27.14
<b>400000</b>	U V		
T.J. Winzera	Thy,	40009 N. Massner way Anthem AZ 85086	8/28/14
Blue E / Inve 256H	Fue Supla	1842 W. WEBSTER	8/28/14
M. RAUSCHER	Fresh	1518 W. SILVER PINE ANCHEM AZ85086	8-27-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Notalie Belyozkin	Menzorlin	42932 N. HUSSON CT AuthumiAZ 85086	8/19/2014
,	0		
			-



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	,DATE
Kittedar Brack	Lim	And AZESOSE	8/27
EN CHIREY		8638 W. Tralia CT Passo ANTHEM	8/27
Sold And	Scottlety	3639 WITHER AZ	8/27
JOHN LUDERS		42522 N. Back Cre	el 8/27
Linda DuponT	Linda Duport	An Men AT 85086	/ / <b>/</b> \
John Rugari		40920 N. Congressioned Dr. Anthon AZ 85086	8/27/14
Amy Gilner	and tilner	1940 Wispirit Ct Anthen 172 85086	8/28/14
LEON GILNET	Clan Sil	ATARA AZ8TOSE	8/28/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE/
Debbie Olson	Dolla JC	42130 W. Celebrotian W. Arthon 85086	8/27/1
PETE HEMBOR	talely	3826 W. Way nz Lang Anthom Az 85086	8/25/A
EMMANUEL DACA	SIN Carl	129/1 N 4300 DR NOW PIVER A7 8700	1 8/28/4
Gene Gene KER	Balled	2454W.W. & Dal D	11/25/8
Angela Gibsan	Cala	318KN, 60-	8/28/14
Monica Aldana	eyapro	40117 N majesty rail	8/25/14
Manuel Orgonza	Many Japan	2450 W. Lewis & Clark Tell Anthon, Az 85086	8/28/14
Jeff Eller	My Gu	3660 W Wedner 850%	8129/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings" These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's aeographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	ROATE
Jeri GLASS	Dxy Glaso	41910 N. Crooked Sto Arthum, AZ85086	8/26/14
MARK D (ASWIND		41910 N Emerallale	8/26/14
CHAR MAZZELLY	Chas Massolla	ANTHEM AZ	8/26/14
Richard Marzella	Ruhad Myselle	40219 N. Tystral	r -
BARble HAVERLY		3143 W. Stein bech Dr Anthon, AZ 85086	
him moser	Knim	40650 M. Kay King Anthon 1285086	4/07/14
Jake Moser	Jan Tan	40650 N Key Ln Anothem, AZ 85086	8/27/14
	Melissa Lasich	2250 W River Rock Tr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/27/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

. . . . . . . .

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
non Argente	U Ma	29712 N Rolling green Way ANIWM AZ 8084	8/23/14
LEE LANGE	Lee Lange	41122 N MAJESTY WAY, MATHEM AZ 85086	8/25/14
Edward Saiz	WANNING -	28'53 W. Walden Way Anthem, AZ. 85086	8/25/14
Jenni Gerlinnema	n PO	40117 N. HICKOKTE Anthem AZ85081	8/25/14
Eddie Francia		3549 W TWOINDL Anthen AZ 85086	)
Carolyn Ellis	Carolyn Elles	3711 W Ghost Flower Ct Anthon 12 85086	8-26.2014
Heiri Kendall	yest repall	3080 W Bryce Ct Arthum AZ 85080	8.26.2014
Doyce Sm. 4h	O Sonte	3056W Walden Anthem Az 8508	
- 3	0		



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
ation the	to about	40043 N. Hgh 1988	9-23-H
Tacki Dese	Jula Tale	40209 N Blaze	8-23-14
Ellie Danson	Shi P	40003 V. Pride DR	8/23
Richal Dowsen	M	40003 N. Pride Dr.	8.23-14
ROSE PYDAY	For Dura	LIFAZ N RAVINAIP	8/23/14
mary Guttilk	the Tattelle	song W Feather	8-23-14
Ramonacretu	Rual	56237 N. 3379 DR Phx A7 ESBED	
Sarah Raley	Sarah Palay	40909 N Crockoff + Anthon 47 8596	8/23/-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE	
B:11 Kolkebel	BUKUL	40826 NMajert (7) Anthen AZ85081	8/22/14	
Gina Kolkeback	Dukolla	40826 N. Marshy Cx My Men, AZ 85080	8/22/4	
Kyle Berta	hylotta	3665 W Hiden Ct Andhem, AZ 85086	8/22/14	
Sherry vun	n There	3623 W Mage	llan 8/22/1	'\
Heiro Riedel	Of didl	3623 W Magelland	8/22/14	_
ROBERT CARR	West Can	2714 W. RAVINA DE DATHAM AZ 85086	8/22/4	
DONNA CA	RE Ronna Can	27/4 W Rarina	8/22/14	f
Marguerite Breidenbach	Marguent She & Mad	40801 N. Barnum L Anthem, AZ 85086	Day 8/23/14	1
		, 82080	)	

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAIVIE	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Amy Benn	ang Bern	41010 N Hudson TT AVHThen AZ 85080	
JERRY BOOTS	Juny Book	AZ329 N. CROSSIDATERY ANTHEN, AZ85026	VAY
BOB PICHARAS	Flash	ANTHON AZSON	8-21-14
SHERRY RICHAR	05 Drewyl Richards		15 Pd
LAWRENCE BURR	EL Lawrence Burel	4056 N.TERITORY TR	- Pull 1
	Jog Hoyen	2028 W Hellen PR ANTHEM AE	8-22-14
· ,	Daglore Thydn	3028 W Killer Dr anthem az 8486	8-32-14
Dwayne BRIDE	ES MARI	Twe View	8-22
	V 4.	3002 Clwood.	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that accur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 millian annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	/ / SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Rachel A. Zollner	Vacle O Bollner	41708 N Cross Timber Anthem, AZ 85086	71/9/2/14
Hyon Wilson	della 1	1820 W More Dr. anthon 12 8086	9/2/14.
BRAD LIVENGOD	( Brymergon)	4200/ N CONGRESSION ANTHEN NE 8508	
Tracy King	Aux S	1541 W. Laure Grae Anthom AZ 85081	
Milwel Cadi	of x	4/0220 D Lythan Le Anthem, Az 85086	7 5-2-14
LARRY PROSE	1	41716 N EMERNU LKON ANNEMAZ 85086	9/2/14
May admerer	Many Ameils	Anthem, AZ 85086	9-2-14
Celer Spira	Peter Dina	41119 No. Camel Valley Ct	9/2/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Frio wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Charles Keymon	Charley	Anthony Az PJO86	8/28/14
Michael Wheat	affect	40226 N. ACADAC	8/22/14
ROW HECYON		4831 W BRIVE	18/08/14
Dang Gro Fr	Delito	1229 Hendhut	8/29/14
Pollade	Del	4403 N Bart CK	8/4/11/
Cheyappe	Cheya B	39831 N. La Cantigo	8/29/14
Beatriz Cam	Da Bosso	2334 W memorial c Anthem Az 85086	8/29/14
ROJERT RIESS	Reto Rui	LUTHEM BOOK	9/2/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Kristen Staut	Kristen Stout	2713 W. Adventure or Anthon, AZ 85086	9.2.14
Carol Moore	Jacobin	41116 N. Prostavia	9-3-14
Jaserlehn		Ends At. sorre	9.3.14
Diana Marston	Jana Marly	2531w my grad	9-3-14
1	Oluncins	Phoenix AZ	9-3-14
	James Moon	40634 N. Carmer Basin Tr. Anther	9-3-14
FRANK WELSES C	Truck Belley 16	Anthem 85036	9-4-14
ONLY FORD		ANTHRMAZ 85086	9-4-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Wyatt (	B.G. Witt	2533 W. Kit (Arson Anthem. Az Robe	8/13/14
Hothy Reis A- Extrel	Holleftera CO	40033 N Cross Timber Anthem AZ 85084	
Heather Foster	HUMANC F	40028 N. Lytham way PAHLEM, AZ 85096	8/13/14
Marie Maki	Marie Maki	2364 W. Sax Canyon Anthem AZ85081	2
Jessi zychowski	40001 Joychouski	42861 N Voyage Tr Anthom AZ 85086	8/14/14
STEVE RICHARDSON	Still	43362 N VISTAHILL ANTHEM, AZ BSOSP	8/8.14
George GMcManisi	16-	2233 W. Clearview Trl Anthem, AZ 85086	8/18/14

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAIVIE	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Sharon BOULANGE	R Doulinge	41403 N Fairgreens	9/18/14
Acher Stadows	Res Station	Authern AZ 39714 N. High Nagy We Antom AE \$2850 40536 N Wied West	86 918/14
PAULA PERONE	the Prise	40,986 NWild West	
BRIAN MILLET	Brisa Millitte	1925 W MENNAUCH ANTHEN AZ 8008	7/11/11
Aleta Millette	aleta R. Mullette	1925 W Meding Ct anthem, AZ 85086	9/19/14
Lean Lass	Leah Lors	42038 N Bridlewood	drung 9/14
ANTHONY ESSER	anthony Esser	ANTHOM N2 85086 41314 N. BENT CREEKL ANTHEM, AZ 85086	9/19/14
DON STAMILE	& Or Stamilo	3602 W. KELLER CT. ANTHEM, AZ85086	7 / 4 / 1 / 1 /



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE	
	Toe Pet ponzio	A de de	2327 W. FIRETHOOM	9/9/14	
	Mary Meyer		3002 W. Steinbedt Cit Archam. AZ 85086	9/11/14	
(	Connie Jopes	Jenie Joses	42528N. Parker	L. 9/11	/19
	Roberto	es - Lobertoll Lord	Altrem Ac. 800 425282. Parker	286	/
	Alanna lubelle	applie	Anthem, AZ.		///
	Trin Sanlez	Insua	3744 w Ineksensily	1912-14	
	Erkla & Dr.	Du Fil	42130 N.44th De	9-12-14	
	MANUEL DELUC	A Chald Just	2506 W. SPIRI 6	v9	
		/ /= V		1 /	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Ricardo Rocha	Min ST S	4411 W. Josell S. Phx, AZ 85087	9-7-14
Mitalizero	Mu Com	YOU 3NACKEET	9-7-14
Dawn DuoRAK	Memarduck	43033 N. MSTA HILS ANTHUM AZ 85086	9/8/14
MIKE CAVENDER	mote Cal	43023 N. USINHHILS DR ANTHUM, AZ85086	9/8/14
Jernionral		410938 n	9814
Mary Rablewski	MARY SZAblewska	Gathan Assiste	9/9/14
Ojvia Granados		41467 N. Yaratown Ct Anthem, AZ 81006	9914
SEAN THOMAS		41713 NGWSECT	9/10/14
		55084	



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
JoDeanne Lamb	Solann Lamb	40220 N. Fairgreen Anthem, AZ 85086	Way 9/14/14
DOUGS SEARLE	In Il	42231 N Gbbraton Co	75/14/14
Andrea Starte	And Seale	Antun Celebrah	9/14/14
Janeyour	Dane your	2464 W. Muirfields	9/14
STEPHEN L. PETERSON	St. A.	ANTHUM AZ	09/16/14
Adan Charney	AC.	JULIEW DENAU Dr. ANTHEM AZ 85086	9/17/14
Valerie Soruce	Valore Some	Anthem AZ85086	n 9/18/14
Rose marmol	Kile Eller	Ablen + 2 8286	9/18/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that cansolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission praceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem ar Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Danny Spruce	W. Sp	40314 N Exptration	9/18
FREDA DIMINGO	Mis	3533 W PLYMOUTH O MNTHEM AZ 85086	9/18
Berry EKith	Affine Soft	Anthem AZ 87086	9-18
MICHAEL STEPHANY	Milsulanam	40700 N. UNION TRL ANTHEM, AZ 85086	19 SEP 2019
SREENADAA (HIUHAM	1 Solly Ru	3788 W Memorials	19 Sep 204
uevanne Paustosia	Gwomen Roulusto	1110 - 11 11 100 - 11	9/20/4
Ron PAulost	SWOLN.	41315 NZw. Bend Ro Author AZ 85086	9-20-2014
Tylor Estes	2	Anshin HZ 8508h	9-21-14
		1,1,1	

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
JOHN SCHMIOT	John Schmidle	40248 N. LA CANTERATA ANTHEM, AZ 85096	n9-10-14
	Billie J. James	40256 N. LA CANTERIOR ANTHEM. RI 85086	
1	Connie Bake	4026/ N La Cantera Dr Anthem, A Z 85086	9-10-14
ELISA des Groseille	Ens & destinable	2375 W FIRETHORN ANTHEM AZ85086	9-14-14
LOCETTA BULAT	Loute Bulet	41437 N. PROSPERITY ANTHEM AZ 85086	9-14-14
STAN BULAT	Stan Bulst	41437 V PLOSPERITY ANTHEM AZ 9508	9-14-14
Soe bet Ronzes	Je Potas	2322 w finethorn any AMERIA = 85081	9-14-10

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which cansidered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Path Lieber	PatSieleer	ADDRESS DO 2544 W MUIRFIELD	9-20-14
Marvin hield		2544 W. MUIRFIEL	
Angela Jasmund		3432 W King Pr	23 Sept 14
LEIF ROBINSON	lef Polins	3639 W: EASTMAN CT ANTIEM AZ 85086	9-23-14
Pamela L'Robinson	Camele & Robins	3639 W. Eastman Ct. Authern Az 85086	9/23/14
HARRY L. KINDER	91×Kml	2927 W. PLUM HOLLOW ANTHOM 85086	9/23/2014
Cynne Bosworth		2490 W. PATHGURLIA WAY Anton AZ	9/20/14
Metril Proswer TH	Merif Besent	2430 W. PATAGENIA BNTHAM AZ	9-23-14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

CICALATURE

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Diane	Diane Kring	41625 n. Biver Beno anthem 53056	9/19/2014
Angelina Robidoux	Dralo	29809 N. River Low Anthem, AZ 85086	9/19/2014
DEMPSEYORTA	Ment	2105 W. CLEARVIEW ANTHEM AZ 85086	9/15/20-1
DAN HAYOS	110 roll	ANTHON AZ. 85086	9/20/14
Michael William	M Willia	ALTHEN. AT 85080	9/24/4
LINDA MELIARS	Longe Meyer	ANTHE M AZ 85 086	9/20/20
William &	Sill II	20) W. Clary 12 85086	9/21/14
Becky	Bedly Fixman	Peak Plwy 36200	9/21/4
<b>G</b>	J	Anthem, AZ	? ?
		$\mathcal{O}^{2}$	/



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
	lessy Follow	0//	39825 W. Cost Logans	
		/ /	Anthon A 2 85286	9-20-14
	Cindy Faley	Cinda Sala	39825 N. Lost Legen	9-20-14
	omay rawy	man / /	Anthem AZ 8508%	1 00-17
	70000	11 11 11 11	2033 W. Cost Dr	
	Kagnon from	Wash & Forth	Anthun 4785086	9-20-14
	2 11 1: 13	JIII NI WILL	2051 W. KURHET DR	11
	KACZKOWSKI PAUL	Writ III Soll	ANTHOM AZ 85081	9/20/14
(	im Villean	1	2/08 W. Kwatt Dr.	9/20/14
<	In Village		11000	1/1/
	Moladi Village	1111	2108 W. huralt la	abolis
	LABOUR MINERO	101.0	Anthen 12 85086	1/24/17
	VIII Gray	Alle	2053 W KUKAHI	19/20/14
			2016 WCkalview Ti	^ ,
	Loren Linscoff	The house of the same of the s	Anthem 85086	9/20/14
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	- Court - Court	11/11/10/11 0000	L



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
ROSETTE BRAIDY	Rosetto Fraids	40231 N. LA CONTETADA ANTRUM, AZ. 85086	9-2-14
Tyler Ringe	Tyley Ris	4745 in Semples Co New Zive AZ 85067	9/8/14
MAIT LEWS	44/5	39706 N. Integrity 11. Anthen Az, 85086,	48/19
Charlotte Moor	Charlotte Moce	G3213 Victa Hills	9/9/14
RICHARD MOORE	Ruber miore	43213 NVISTA HILLS DIZ ANTHEM, AZ 85086	9-09-14
HARRYM KAPLAN		40844NBMROUNUM VANTHEY AZ STEKI	1 1 1
EDWARD HINE		46146 N GERSHWIN ANTHEM AZ85086	alistes
CAROL KOST	Cokrat	3307 W. Links Anthem Az 85086	9/11/14



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Marietta DeiBello	Mall UNUSILLE	3053W, Owens Dr. Anthem A & 85086	8/20/14
KASchultz	Mischer	2941 WOWERS Anthon AZ 85086	9/11/14
1	Mars	3714 W Memorial Phy 47 8508 co	9-11-14
Patti O'Lorophin	late R1	3714 W Memoral PN 4788130	9-11-14
Mary G Solon	mbsolu	2536 W Myoxuab. Anthem 4288086	9-11-14
Donald M. Solor		2536 W Myonia Dr Anthem A 285086	9-11-14
		3527 W. Susa Ct	9-11-14
JULIE GRIMES	w Sarah A. Gerffin	Anthom 4 2 \$5086 3339 H. Morse Dr Anthom, 85086	9-11-14

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Linda Beacom	Jonda Beacom	Anther 850860 2508 W. Whitnen Dr	9-11-14
KELLI VERSTEGG	P . 1	ANTHEM SEUSIE	9-11-14
	er Boolsona Bann	40817 N. HARborer	9-12-14
KANDAGE LEVÍ	( )	Authern Setow Haley No Aroba	9/15/14
Robert Tinsman	Robert 95	4720 W. SAMPLES LN Anthon AZ 85087	9/13/14
	Carri Disman	4720 w skryver us krotrem Az 85087	9/3/14
	Janie Oavidson	2344 12 0100	9-13-14
	Timothy Davids	2344 W. CLEARVEN TR ANTHEM AZ 85086	9-13-14
	Ų		

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Sumer Servings	7/	1703W, TWEIN Ct.	9/16/14
William Forder	West - 157	42311 N. Classunt	9/11/14
FLO KOBJELD	- Donn Chila	WAY	9/16/14
KAKEN Adler	Karu Rollw	42239 N. STONEMAKK AN	9/11/14
Billie Copen	Billie Copen	40624 N Blazo Trl	9/18/14
Fire Holland	85 Holl	3048 W. Morse Ct	9/18/14
YVONNE ROT	4 Junne Rack	42036 71, Emerald Lake A	,9/19/1y
BHASKER PUTARI	Sulva	3340 W. 504LA DN.	9 (22/14.



In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Harold Gettelman	11-91	3314 W. Links Dr. Anthem, AZ	9/12/17
Sandra Gettelman	Sandra State Sman	3314 W. Links Anthon, AZ	gloslig
Richard Clega	D. / D. W.	3373 W. Links Dr Anthem, AZ	9/15/14
Amy A Clear	Drux Clean	3373 W LINKS Dr Anthem AZ	9/15/14
FRAN BEGALKE	From Seathe	Buthem	9/15/14
ED BERALKE	El Beach	ANTHEM BS	9/15/14
Nan Ground	ny book	3316 W Lines Anothern Az	9/15/14
Alan Gough	all	Mintem	9/15/14
. }	/ /		



Ellen K. Aiken James W. Armstrong Adrian L. Barton Steven R. Beeghley Stephen Aron Benson Brian E. Ditsch Judith M. Dworkin Patty A. Ferguson Roxann S. Gallagher Steven M. Goldstein Bryan J. Gottfredson Gave L. Gould John K. Hanson Helen R. Holden Robert G. Kimball Michael C. Lamb Stephen A. Lenn Jeffrey S. Leonard Shannon M. Mason Matthew B. Meaker

André H. Merrett Phoebe Moffatt Sharon S. Moyer Michael R. Rooney David L. Rose James S. Samuelson Sharon B. Shively Allyson J. Teply David C. Tierney Matthew F. Winter

Seymour Sacks (1932 - 2011) Marvin S. Cohen (1931 - 2009) Gary E. Pace, CLM, Executive Director



October 6, 2014

#### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Writer's Direct Line: 480.425.2673 Writer's Direct Facsimile: 480,425,4973 Writer's E-mail: Roxann.Gallagher@SacksTierney.com

**Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission** 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc.

Docket No. SW-01303A-09-0343

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached for consideration by the Commissioners and for inclusion in the above-reference docket are approximately 1,389 signatures of Anthem residents urging the Commission to reject reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District for the reasons set forth in the attached. Original signatures are available for examination at the Commission's request.

Sincerely,

Roxann S. Gallagher Sacks Tierney P.A.

Copy of the foregoing mailed this \_\_\_ day of October, 2014 to:

Service List for Docket No. SW-013-03A-09-0343



This petition has collected 1718 signatures using the online tools at <u>iPetitions.com</u>

Printed on 2014-09-24

#### Oppose Reconsolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewaster District

#### About this petition

The undersigned residents of the Anthem community implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District. In 2012 the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

1	
:	
859.	Name: Sue Nadeau on 2014-08-21 21:19:13 Comments:
860.	Name: Barbara Evans on 2014-08-21 21:20:05 Comments:
861.	Name: Erynn Eller on 2014-08-21 21:33:11 Comments:
862.	Name: Jeff Eller on 2014-08-21 21:35:15 Comments:
863.	Name: Joe Petonzio on 2014-08-21 21:54:57 Comments: REJECT THE RECONSOLIDATION

864.	Name: Jennifer golding on 2014-08-21 21:58:08 Comments:
865.	Name: Terran Bohart on 2014-08-21 22:12:52 Comments:
866.	Name: Nancy Strongin on 2014-08-21 23:10:06  Comments: Reject Reconsolidation. Need an end to high prices. Consolidation with all five districts.
867.	Name: Steve and Dorothy McCarty on 2014-08-22 00:00:50 Comments:
868.	Name: Robert Price on 2014-08-22 00:38:26 Comments:
869.	Name: John Barchi on 2014-08-22 00:40:27 Comments:
870.	Name: Robert Omer on 2014-08-22 00:40:58 Comments:
871.	Name: David Flynn on 2014-08-22 00:42:01 Comments: JJED2 LLC
872.	Name: Linda Osting on 2014-08-22 00:42:25 Comments: linda.osting@gmail.com
873.	Name: Linda Zinsmeyer on 2014-08-22 00:42:55 Comments: Our water rates are already too high.
874.	Name: Bob McElroy on 2014-08-22 00:43:52 Comments:
875.	Name: Nina goelz on 2014-08-22 00:45:36 Comments: Oppose consolidation
876.	Name: Leticia on 2014-08-22 00:45:52 Comments:
877.	Name: Mary Jo Maughan on 2014-08-22 00:45:58

Comments:

878.	Name: Mike Caldwell on 2014-08-22 00:48:50 Comments:
, <mark>879</mark> .	Name: Frank Ritchelli on 2014-08-22 00:51:50 Comments: I am opposed to consolidation. we should stay with the decision the commission has already made
880.	Name: Jay Stringer on 2014-08-22 01:03:23 Comments: Against higher rates
881.	Name: Richard Dickson on 2014-08-22 01:07:02  Comments: The water rates are too high. They need to be lowered at least 50%
882.	Name: Steve Evans on 2014-08-22 01:09:46  Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation of Anthem and Agua Fria's wastewater district.
883.	Name: Michael J Peters on 2014-08-22 01:10:03  Comments: I oppose the consolidation and deem that the commission stay with their just decision to keep Anthem separate. In my mind it is hard to believe that we pay twice the water and sewer bill in Anthem for which we spend approximately 3.5mos at compared to Roseville, CA. A \$100 monthly bill when not at the property is outrageous and to raise it more is a sin.
884.	Name: Michael J Peters on 2014-08-22 01:10:04  Comments: I oppose the consolidation and deem that the commission stay with their just decision to keep Anthem separate. In my mind it is hard to believe that we pay twice the water and sewer bill in Anthem for which we spend approximately 3.5mos at compared to Roseville, CA. A \$100 monthly bill when not at the property is outrageous and to raise it more is a sin.
885.	Name: Vernaliza Meyer-Humbert on 2014-08-22 01:10:38  Comments: Against higher rates!
886.	Name: Frederick Moore on 2014-08-22 01:12:47 Comments: oppose Reconsolidation
887.	Name: Fred Acosta on 2014-08-22 01:13:35 Comments: I vote against Epcor proposition!
888.	Name: Josh Williams on 2014-08-22 01:17:23 Comments:

889.	Name: John Lee on 2014-08-22 01:21:28 Comments:
890.	Name: Scott Rockwin on 2014-08-22 01:21:44 Comments: i beliveit should stay just the way it is
891.	Name: Eddie Pizarro on 2014-08-22 01:22:17 Comments:
892.	Name: Jane Gunnlaugsson on 2014-08-22 01:22:18  Comments: "I vote against the reconsolidation"
893.	Name: Walter Reiman on 2014-08-22 01:23:11 Comments:
894.	Name: Jim Gregson on 2014-08-22 01:23:18 Comments:
895.	Name: Carrie OConnor on 2014-08-22 01:23:44 Comments:
896.	Name: Marilyn Ohm on 2014-08-22 01:24:05 Comments:
897.	Name: Patricia Gregson on 2014-08-22 01:25:17 Comments:
898.	Name: Colleen Schwind on 2014-08-22 01:33:33 Comments:
899.	Name: Michael C. Schwind on 2014-08-22 01:35:03 Comments:
900.	Name: gerald sherman on 2014-08-22 01:35:15 Comments:
901.	Name: Margo Seck on 2014-08-22 01:35:20 Comments:
902.	Name: Pat Pierson on 2014-08-22 01:36:55  Comments: If we need to conserve water why are all theese new homes being built in the north valley?

903.	Name: Ann K Anderson on 2014-08-22 01:36:56 Comments: I vote against the consolidation
904.	Name: Barbara MacArthur on 2014-08-22 01:38:24 Comments:
905.	Name: Curtis E Evert on 2014-08-22 01:38:41 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation at any level
906.	Name: Tom Anderson on 2014-08-22 01:38:51 Comments: I vote against the consolidation
907.	Name: Julie a on 2014-08-22 01:39:50 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation at any level
908.	Name: Dennis MacArthur on 2014-08-22 01:39:54 Comments:
909.	Name: Julie A Evert on 2014-08-22 01:40:54 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation at any level
910.	Name: Wayne M. Allen on 2014-08-22 01:42:05 Comments:
911.	Name: William Bailey on 2014-08-22 01:42:36 Comments:
912.	Name: Leontia Roberts on 2014-08-22 01:43:10 Comments: Oppose reconsolidation
913.	Name: Jane Shaw on 2014-08-22 01:44:49 Comments:
914.	Name: David Lyijynen on 2014-08-22 01:44:51 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation.
915.	Name: Emily Yagielo on 2014-08-22 01:45:09 Comments:
916.	Name: Jason Yagielo on 2014-08-22 01:46:04 Comments:

917.	Name: Michelle Castellarin on 2014-08-22 01:46:11 Comments:
918.	Name: Sean Hobin on 2014-08-22 01:46:59 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation.
919.	Name: Helen Kasley on 2014-08-22 01:51:46 Comments:
920.	Name: Delbert Gwin on 2014-08-22 02:00:28 Comments: Do not reconsolidate!
921.	Name: David Hall on 2014-08-22 02:06:11 Comments:
922.	Name: Larry and Mary Claire Wilson on 2014-08-22 02:11:32  Comments: Reject Reconsideration of the Anthem Wasterwater District and the Aqua Fria Waste Water District
923.	Name: Donald Harris on 2014-08-22 02:11:39 Comments:
924.	Name: Coco Miller on 2014-08-22 02:15:24 Comments:
925.	Name: Kelly Miller on 2014-08-22 02:16:34 Comments:
926.	Name: Shirley Knapp on 2014-08-22 02:19:21 Comments:
927.	Name: Karen Anderson on 2014-08-22 02:19:54 Comments:
928.	Name: Craig Anderson on 2014-08-22 02:20:55 Comments:
929.	Name: Matt Kersh on 2014-08-22 02:29:12 Comments:
930.	Name: Elizabeth Turner on 2014-08-22 02:32:48

÷	Comments: Reject reconsolidation!
931.	Name: Robert Martin on 2014-08-22 02:34:52 Comments:
932.	Name: Mary Jaste r on 2014-08-22 02:51:51 Comments:
933.	Name: William L. Brooks Sr on 2014-08-22 02:52:48 Comments: Whynis this being reconsidered after your initial ruling.
934.	Name: Bonnie Hirschberg on 2014-08-22 02:58:14 Comments:
935.	Name: Janine Vlcek on 2014-08-22 03:03:45 Comments:
936.	Name: John H. Cole on 2014-08-22 03:04:00 Comments: Reject reconsolidating water districts.
937.	Name: Mike Vlcek on 2014-08-22 03:05:00 Comments:
938.	Name: Patrick Boyhan on 2014-08-22 03:06:35 Comments: Reject reconsolidation of the water districts.
939.	Name: Jimmy Presley on 2014-08-22 03:09:08 Comments:
940.	Name: Ken Harrison on 2014-08-22 03:09:30 Comments:
941.	Name: Fredrick M. Petersen on 2014-08-22 03:13:35 Comments:
942.	Name: Linda Coffin on 2014-08-22 03:18:43 Comments:
943.	Name: Paul & Carol Wilcox on 2014-08-22 03:30:08  Comments: We adamantly oppose reconsolidation. Excessive water rates are a burden and devalue homes. AZ Corporation Commission: We implore you listen to the community of Anthem.

944.	Name: Lee & Arleen Boyer on 2014-08-22 03:38:18 Comments:
945.	Name: Jonathan Christie on 2014-08-22 03:46:11 Comments: Reject reconsolidation of the water districts.
946.	Name: Brenda Christie on 2014-08-22 03:48:25 Comments: Reject reconsolidation of the water districts.
947.	Name: Colleen Christman on 2014-08-22 03:53:26 Comments:
948.	Name: Robert B. Tarman on 2014-08-22 03:54:16 Comments:
949.	Name: sally scrivner on 2014-08-22 03:58:49 Comments: our rates are not affordable now!!
950.	Name: Alison Ryan on 2014-08-22 04:01:04 Comments:
951.	Name: Ellen Hart on 2014-08-22 04:05:56 Comments:
952.	Name: Cindy Halstead on 2014-08-22 04:07:43 Comments:
953.	Name: Amanda Pickner on 2014-08-22 04:08:29 Comments:
954.	Name: James Rush on 2014-08-22 04:10:43 Comments:
955.	Name: terry yacyshen on 2014-08-22 04:14:03 Comments:
956.	Name: Murray Gwynn on 2014-08-22 04:26:05 Comments:
957.	Name: Patricia Hubbard on 2014-08-22 04:27:06 Comments:

958.	Name: Ken Murray on 2014-08-22 04:28:37 Comments:
959.	Name: Bonnie Mehlin on 2014-08-22 04:30:57 Comments:
960.	Name: Sheila Baldwin on 2014-08-22 04:33:19  Comments: I reject reconsolidation of the water districts. The higher rates would have a negative affect on properties in our community
961.	Name: Dana Smith on 2014-08-22 04:44:57 Comments:
962.	Name: JOSEPH NORKUS on 2014-08-22 04:52:15 Comments:
963.	Name: William Carstens on 2014-08-22 05:06:15 Comments: no reconsolidation
964.	Name: Jan Durand on 2014-08-22 05:09:49 Comments:
965.	Name: Steve Durand on 2014-08-22 05:11:42  Comments: Why is it considered to be appropriate to change a decision that was given careful consideration when the facts have not changed since the decision was made?
966.	Name: Guy Horine on 2014-08-22 05:16:45 Comments:
967.	Name: David Gruen on 2014-08-22 05:24:25 Comments: I reject reconsolidation.
968.	Name: Gina Quinn on 2014-08-22 05:29:33 Comments:
969.	Name: William Thomas on 2014-08-22 05:45:13 Comments:
970.	Name: Les Klahn on 2014-08-22 06:15:33 Comments:

971.	Name: Ann Ritchelli on 2014-08-22 06:22:35 Comments:
972.	Name: Cindy Bowman on 2014-08-22 06:46:25 Comments:
973.	Name: Dorie McDaniel on 2014-08-22 06:46:47 Comments: I vote NO!
974.	Name: Cindy Bowman on 2014-08-22 06:48:22 Comments:
975.	Name: Walter McDaniel on 2014-08-22 06:54:11 Comments: I oppose re-consolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewater District. The previous decision was well thought out and nothing has changed.
976.	Name: Diane Hampson on 2014-08-22 07:11:14 Comments:
977.	Name: Alyssa White on 2014-08-22 11:28:58 Comments: No reconsolidation.
978.	Name: Allen Smith on 2014-08-22 11:38:17 Comments: enough is enough , this has already been settled.
979.	Name: Richard Margherita on 2014-08-22 12:00:49 Comments:
980.	Name: John Binns on 2014-08-22 12:20:10 Comments:
981.	Name: sara zappia on 2014-08-22 12:23:47 Comments:
982.	Name: Bill Marrs on 2014-08-22 12:36:10 Comments: Consolidate all of Epcor's water districts.
983.	Name: Lynn Jakubik on 2014-08-22 12:37:51 Comments: No reconsolidation.
984.	Name: Stephen Quine on 2014-08-22 12:58:33 Comments:

985.	Name: garry stratychuk on 2014-08-22 13:14:17 Comments:
986.	Name: Kevin Lukes on 2014-08-22 13:27:16 Comments:
987.	Name: Roger carson on 2014-08-22 13:28:54  Comments: Oppose Reconsolidation I oppose the reconsolidation of the Agua Fria Wastewaster District
988.	Name: Lisa Domecq on 2014-08-22 13:46:02 Comments:
989.	Name: Beth Winser on 2014-08-22 13:46:32 Comments: Oppose
990.	Name: Earl Carlow on 2014-08-22 13:53:39 Comments:
991.	Name: Elizabeth Carl on 2014-08-22 13:57:44 Comments:
992.	Name: Scott Stanforfd on 2014-08-22 14:13:50 Comments: no reconsolidation
993.	Name: Patricia Haley on 2014-08-22 14:16:08 Comments: no reconsolidation
994.	Name: Joan Chludzinski on 2014-08-22 14:26:17 Comments:
995.	Name: Donald Allen on 2014-08-22 14:31:38 Comments: No reconsolidation
996.	Name: Kenneth Charters on 2014-08-22 14:39:59 Comments: No reconsolidation
997.	Name: Jeff Ward on 2014-08-22 14:44:31 Comments:
998.	Name: Pat Henson on 2014-08-22 14:46:39

999.	Name: Joe Volinsky on 2014-08-22 14:53:34 Comments: Oppose Reconsolidation
1000.	Name: Cynthia Tegge on 2014-08-22 14:58:06 Comments:
1001.	Name: Ralph Sauceda on 2014-08-22 15:35:49 Comments:
1002.	Name: Richard Shaw on 2014-08-22 15:37:20 Comments: Let's vote for all district consolidation.
1003.	Name: John Trent on 2014-08-22 15:43:59 Comments: Opposed to reconsolidating
1004.	Name: Brian/Joanne Manning on 2014-08-22 15:49:09 Comments: We oppose reconsolidation
1005.	Name: Rick Madsen on 2014-08-22 15:56:55 Comments:
1006.	Name: JUDY EGGLESTON on 2014-08-22 16:00:18 Comments: we absolutely oppose reconsolidation
1007.	Name: David Stepien on 2014-08-22 16:23:24 Comments:
1008.	Name: D on 2014-08-22 16:32:49 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation!
1009.	Name: Tracy Alvarez on 2014-08-22 16:52:45 Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation
1010.	Name: Greg Alvarez on 2014-08-22 16:54:23 Comments: We oppose the reconsolidation!
1011.	Name: John Bryan on 2014-08-22 17:09:21 Comments:
1012.	Name: Vivian Tortorella on 2014-08-22 17:21:52

1013.	Name: Ron Skaer on 2014-08-22 17:28:29 Comments: We do not support reconsolidating of Anthem and Agua Fria wastewater districts. Our water bills in Anthem, especially the wastewater portion, are very, very high.
1014.	Name: Jackie Skaer on 2014-08-22 17:29:44 Comments: Our water rates are too high
1015.	Name: Arthur Ferreira Jr on 2014-08-22 17:35:57 Comments:
1016.	Name: Virginia Altieri on 2014-08-22 17:54:08 Comments:
1017.	Name: Gerard Boll on 2014-08-22 18:06:16 Comments:
1018.	Name: Barbara L. Spindel on 2014-08-22 18:37:24 Comments: oppose
1019.	Name: Paul C. Anderson on 2014-08-22 18:59:10 Comments: I totally oppose the reconsolidation option.
1020.	Name: Craig Silveus on 2014-08-22 19:10:19 Comments:
1021.	Name: Gary W. Lang on 2014-08-22 19:29:44  Comments: Unfair to expect Anthem residents to pay for facilities they will never use or impact.
1022.	Name: Kathi E. Lang on 2014-08-22 19:32:07  Comments: Anthem's high rates shouldn't go even higher to subsidize facilities we will never use.
1023.	Name: Ricki Feiner on 2014-08-22 19:48:57 Comments:
1024.	Name: Diane Larson on 2014-08-22 20:46:41 Comments: I oppose

1025.	Name: Andrew Lasich on 2014-08-22 20:49:52 Comments:
1026.	Name: John Colaiacovo on 2014-08-22 21:32:58  Comments: adding one red cent to an already over onflated water bill is beyond comprehension
1027.	Name: Pamela Goodrich-Boggs on 2014-08-22 21:34:36 Comments:
1028.	Name: Helen Gwynn on 2014-08-22 22:05:24 Comments:
1029.	Name: Joann Andrejeski on 2014-08-22 22:28:33 Comments:
1030.	Name: Donald Jacobsen on 2014-08-22 22:31:02 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1031.	Name: Gladwin G Beem on 2014-08-22 22:37:21 Comments: The Anthem (East) water cost is too #*+# high !!!
1032.	Name: Ashleigh Barlow on 2014-08-22 22:54:27 Comments:
1033.	Name: Robert Stewart on 2014-08-22 22:59:42 Comments:
1034.	Name: charles negrelli on 2014-08-22 23:28:13 Comments:
1035.	Name: Karen Lyons on 2014-08-22 23:38:30 Comments:
1036.	Name: Robert Leece on 2014-08-22 23:40:39 Comments:
1037.	Name: James C. Bragg on 2014-08-23 00:52:28 Comments:
1038.	Name: David & Doris Patton on 2014-08-23 01:23:01 Comments: The Arizona Corporation Commission has determined consolidation is unjust

1039.	Name: Ray and Dale Norris on 2014-08-23 03:15:37 Comments:
1040.	Name: Nick MacDonald on 2014-08-23 04:03:05  Comments: There needs to be a fair flate rate across the board for everyone not just Anthem.
1041.	Name: Andrea Fankhauser on 2014-08-23 04:50:29 Comments:
1042.	Name: Chris Jensen on 2014-08-23 05:11:13 Comments:
1043.	Name: Bill Boug on 2014-08-23 05:46:23 Comments:
1044.	Name: jennifer knorr on 2014-08-23 06:08:10 Comments:
1045.	Name: Anthony Gates on 2014-08-23 06:10:02 Comments:
1046.	Name: Bertrand & Marie-Christine DUNOU on 2014-08-23 06:33:50 Comments:
1047.	Name: Mark Grace on 2014-08-23 11:32:38  Comments: I cannot afford another increase!
1048.	Name: Raymond L Hugli on 2014-08-23 12:51:05 Comments:
1049.	Name: Jerry Kaylor on 2014-08-23 13:23:45 Comments:
1050.	Name: Tom Evangelista on 2014-08-23 13:34:21 Comments:
1051.	Name: Marie Evangelista on 2014-08-23 13:35:19 Comments:

1052.	Name: Christine Boucher on 2014-08-23 14:14:36 Comments: I cannot afford another increase. It may be time to move out of Anthem!
1053.	Name: Tara on 2014-08-23 14:59:26 Comments: This is ridiculous. Our water is already spiked!
1054.	Name: Sheryll Scaroulis on 2014-08-23 18:59:26 Comments:
1055.	Name: George Scaroulis on 2014-08-23 19:01:07 Comments:
1056.	Name: Douglas Greenstein on 2014-08-23 22:46:50 Comments:
1057.	Name: Joyce Jones on 2014-08-23 22:50:45 Comments:
1058.	Name: Thomas Pertee on 2014-08-23 23:09:06 Comments: I reject
1059.	Name: Amy Carlson on 2014-08-24 01:16:37 Comments:
1060.	Name: James Yeakley on 2014-08-24 04:39:20 Comments:
1061.	Name: Robert brown on 2014-08-24 04:41:36 Comments:
1062.	Name: Janet Scangas on 2014-08-24 04:41:41 Comments:
1063.	Name: Kevin Smith on 2014-08-24 05:23:25 Comments:
1064.	Name: Cathy Hale on 2014-08-24 10:42:30 Comments:
1065.	Name: Kanani Guerra on 2014-08-24 15:33:32 Comments:
1065.	

1066.	Name: Josh Guerra on 2014-08-24 15:34:17 Comments:
1067.	Name: Bill Walter on 2014-08-24 15:44:50 Comments:
1068.	Name: Andrew Schulz on 2014-08-24 15:57:29 Comments:
1069.	Name: Ann Costa on 2014-08-24 16:47:34 Comments:
1070.	Name: Jessica Tubbs on 2014-08-24 17:01:13 Comments:
1071.	Name: Linda Keenan on 2014-08-24 18:31:58 Comments:
1072.	Name: Philip Louison on 2014-08-24 18:56:38 Comments: Resident of Anthem
1073.	Name: Arthur Vidrine on 2014-08-24 20:22:11 Comments:
1074.	Name: jocelyn rochman on 2014-08-24 20:40:33 Comments: we do not need higher water.
1075.	Name: ANNA & PHIL MORENO on 2014-08-24 23:08:20 Comments: WE REJECT THE RECONSOLIDATION
1076.	Name: Carol Chmielewski on 2014-08-25 00:04:00 Comments: I cannot afford another increase!!!!!!
1077.	Name: Dennis Delph on 2014-08-25 02:20:32 Comments:
1078.	Name: Linda Delph on 2014-08-25 02:25:35 Comments:
1079.	Name: Michael Blount on 2014-08-25 06:29:04 Comments:

1080.	Name: Joseph and Andrea Gecho on 2014-08-25 14:07:55 Comments: There is absolutely no reason to consolidate Anthem with Agua Fria. There facility has nothing to do with Anthem and I object to paying higher rates to subsidize their facility.
1081.	Name: Teresa Bacal on 2014-08-25 16:01:50 Comments:
1082.	Name: Roger Willis on 2014-08-25 16:18:12 Comments: Commission risks its good name by reneging on an agreement that was properly and legally negotiated. Shame on you for even considering reversing Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater deconsolidation!
1083.	Name: David T. Sheldon on 2014-08-25 18:33:56 Comments:
1084.	Name: John Steven Hopper on 2014-08-25 20:21:59 Comments:
1085.	Name: Nancy Coy Hopper on 2014-08-25 20:24:30 Comments:
1086.	Name: Athena Rivera on 2014-08-25 21:15:32 Comments:
1087.	Name: Nicole Anderson on 2014-08-25 21:15:43 Comments:
1088.	Name: Dave and Linda Pluhowy on 2014-08-25 21:21:05 Comments: Do not approve of this reconciliation
1089.	Name: Coralie Duckart on 2014-08-25 22:22:17 Comments:
1090.	Name: Patricia Vecsey on 2014-08-25 22:40:29 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation.
1091.	Name: Charles Vecsey on 2014-08-25 22:41:06 Comments: I oppose Reconsolidation.
1092.	Name: Timothy Carlson on 2014-08-25 23:36:05  Comments: Keep existing settlement as previously decided by the Comission. Oppose Reconsolidation!

1093.	Name: Donna M Kesot on 2014-08-25 23:57:46 Comments:
1094.	Name: Nancy Glatt on 2014-08-26 00:05:58 Comments:
1095.	Name: Sophie Konya on 2014-08-26 00:44:47 Comments:
1096.	Name: Darren Wright on 2014-08-26 02:13:08 Comments: Our water is already way too expensive
1097.	Name: Robert Linder on 2014-08-26 04:54:47 Comments:
1098.	Name: Brent Niemerg on 2014-08-26 11:52:20 Comments:
1099.	Name: Vincent J.Lombardi on 2014-08-26 14:48:00 Comments: Agree with ACC, we were promised lower and fairer and lower rates!!
1100.	Name: MICHELLE AMOS on 2014-08-26 15:42:58 Comments:
1101.	Name: BERNARD PFILE on 2014-08-26 17:19:44  Comments: I will pay my fair share. I don't want to subsidize anyone.
1102.	Name: Gregory Miller on 2014-08-26 18:13:22 Comments: Please do not reconsolidate the Agua Fria Wastewater District
1103.	Name: Terry Murphy on 2014-08-26 19:31:15  Comments: No more rate hikes. Pay too much as it is. Corporation Commission: for once do the right thing for the people not the companies.
1104.	Name: Karen Billideau on 2014-08-26 19:33:43 Comments:
1105.	Name: Ruth James on 2014-08-26 21:04:08 Comments:
1106.	Name: Jim James on 2014-08-26 21:05:24

1107.	Name: carol leite on 2014-08-26 22:45:57 Comments:
1108.	Name: Mark Gibbons on 2014-08-26 23:19:43 Comments:
1109.	Name: Cassandra Pelis on 2014-08-27 00:08:10 Comments:
1110.	Name: Cynthia Kahler on 2014-08-27 00:26:42 Comments:
1111.	Name: Heather Colegrove on 2014-08-27 00:40:46 Comments:
1112.	Name: Megan Tortorella on 2014-08-27 03:39:48 Comments:
1113.	Name: Cheryl Swedberg on 2014-08-27 13:39:50 Comments: Rates are already high. I definitely oppose this.
1114.	Name: Stephen Swedberg on 2014-08-27 15:49:26 Comments:
1115.	Name: Belinda Floriano on 2014-08-27 16:00:11 Comments:
1116.	Name: Eric Gordillo on 2014-08-27 16:27:39  Comments: This reconsolidation is absurd. Increasing ones cost to pay for a wastewater treatment facility that one does not currently use or will ever use is plain wrong. I hope voters remember this come election time.
1117.	Name: Nick Alexopoulos on 2014-08-27 17:05:19 Comments:
1118.	Name: Amber Hinderliter on 2014-08-27 19:36:44 Comments:
1119.	Name: Glenda Tucker on 2014-08-27 23:31:17 Comments:

1120.	Name: gary gillespie on 2014-08-28 00:24:26 Comments: please oppose this merger. thank you
1121.	Name: Valerie Vinger on 2014-08-28 03:32:39 Comments:
1122.	Name: John & Elsie Mayo on 2014-08-28 03:43:39 Comments: We oppose Reconsolidation
1123.	Name: maureen moore on 2014-08-28 13:49:27 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1124.	Name: Shelly Hammerslag on 2014-08-28 15:19:52 Comments:
1125.	Name: Christine Fuerstenau on 2014-08-28 15:21:21 Comments:
1126.	Name: Charlotte Pyles on 2014-08-28 15:28:34 Comments:
1127.	Name: Dale Norris on 2014-08-28 15:29:41 Comments:
1128.	Name: Alan Lee on 2014-08-28 15:31:27 Comments:
1129.	Name: Jerry Pyles on 2014-08-28 15:33:02 Comments:
1130.	Name: Carmen Ballard on 2014-08-28 15:53:03 Comments:
1131.	Name: Rick Harrison on 2014-08-28 16:08:32 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1132.	Name: Jamie Wilson on 2014-08-28 16:10:02 Comments: Absolutely ridiculous. Oppose Reconsolidation.
1133.	Name: Brooke Askew-Rossi on 2014-08-28 16:27:29 Comments:

1134.	Name: James L Robinson on 2014-08-28 16:44:01 Comments: Strongly oppose this reconsolidation!
1135.	Name: Michael McCormick on 2014-08-28 16:49:32 Comments:
1136.	Name: Amber Peters on 2014-08-28 16:56:09  Comments: Anthem Resident "I can't even understand why I would have a water bill that exceeds \$200 to start with when elsewhere in Phoenix my bills were never more than \$70."
1137.	Name: Robert J Pinkerton on 2014-08-28 17:14:47 Comments:
1138.	Name: Grant Pavolka on 2014-08-28 17:42:22 Comments:
1139.	Name: Gary Timm on 2014-08-28 17:59:31 Comments: This issue of water rates was addressed and settled in 2012. I think that decision should stand.
1140.	Name: Marilyn ohm on 2014-08-28 18:17:02 Comments:
1141.	Name: Bonnie Braun on 2014-08-28 18:23:42 Comments:
1142.	Name: charlotte schack on 2014-08-28 18:35:21 Comments:
1143.	Name: Heather Zellers on 2014-08-28 18:41:27 Comments:
1144.	Name: Kara Hyvarinen on 2014-08-28 19:05:00 Comments:
1145.	Name: larry evans on 2014-08-28 19:12:39 Comments:
1146.	Name: jennifer evans on 2014-08-28 19:19:06 Comments:

Name: Kelly Peterson on 2014-08-28 19:51:05 Comments:
Name: JON M. COREY on 2014-08-28 21:34:26 Comments:
Name: Delores Ruedinger on 2014-08-28 21:38:45 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation with Agua Fria Wastewater District
Name: Jeremy carson on 2014-08-28 21:50:02 Comments: Oppose
Name: Pamela Fazekas on 2014-08-29 00:42:14 Comments:
Name: Louis cassone on 2014-08-29 00:51:04 Comments: Full consolidation is only fair way to go.
Name: Cindy Smith on 2014-08-29 01:03:03 Comments:
Name: John Rothfork on 2014-08-29 01:44:01 Comments: rothfork@hotmail.com
Name: Kathleen Frankel on 2014-08-29 01:57:04 Comments:
Name: KM Bradburn on 2014-08-29 02:02:06 Comments:
Name: Mike Spinelli on 2014-08-29 02:09:58 Comments:
Name: Alan Telford on 2014-08-29 02:27:22 Comments: Do not reconsollidate, and leave the original deconsolidation in place.
Name: Melissa on 2014-08-29 02:39:57 Comments:
Name: Christine Willis on 2014-08-29 03:58:42 Comments:

·	
1161.	Name: lisa Halman on 2014-08-29 04:00:21 Comments:
1162.	Name: Nancy Buckner on 2014-08-29 04:13:51 Comments:
1163.	Name: Benjamin Kesler on 2014-08-29 04:18:28  Comments: This is contrary to an agreement already stuck. Anthem water rates are already too high!
1164.	Name: susan Jackson on 2014-08-29 04:27:12 Comments:
1165.	Name: Jeff Swanitz on 2014-08-29 04:28:34  Comments: Leave the current rates and utility status as is! Rates are already too high in Anthem!
1166.	Name: Ro Logrippo Spinelli on 2014-08-29 04:28:46  Comments: This is an outrage. It is totally unfair and unacceptable for Anthem residents to pay for water that another district is using. It makes as much sense as paying for someone else's mortgage. Amen.
1167.	Name: Tracey ingalls on 2014-08-29 04:38:42 Comments: Keep your word!
1168.	Name: Linda McCarthy on 2014-08-29 04:43:03 Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation
1169.	Name: Diane Cavallo on 2014-08-29 04:51:40 Comments:
1170.	Name: Michele Johnson on 2014-08-29 07:50:49 Comments:
1171.	Name: Kendra on 2014-08-29 14:10:32 Comments:
1172.	Name: Carolyn Stoick on 2014-08-29 14:24:16 Comments: Totally oppose reconsolidation. Whole concept is ridiculous.
1173.	Name: Michael Fina on 2014-08-29 14:47:11

Comments: When is enough will be enough? The residents of Anthem have been consistently getting gouged by this water company for the past 10 years beginning with Arizona American Water, now Epcor! I've heard every lame excuse in the world why they should raise rates, almost doubling water bills. While in places like California have bigger legitimate problems, like drought, only raise rates ~5%. The way the ACC have made their decisions in the past, mostly against Anthem residents, these politicians seem to be bought off by big corporations so the little guy takes it in the rear! In my opinion, these people should be in jail!

1174.	Name: Paola Caceres on 2014-08-29 14:56:51 Comments:
1175.	Name: Maley Walsh on 2014-08-29 15:37:10  Comments: Our water bill is already unreasonably high!
1176.	Name: William Copsey on 2014-08-29 15:47:56 Comments: Our water bills are already extremely high
1177.	Name: John Coleman on 2014-08-29 16:06:56  Comments: water and waste water ridiculously outrageously high.
1178.	Name: Jill Madzik on 2014-08-29 16:08:59 Comments:
1179.	Name: Vickie Peth on 2014-08-29 16:09:47 Comments:
1180.	Name: Walter Reiman on 2014-08-29 16:20:50 Comments:
1181.	Name: Walter Reiman on 2014-08-29 16:21:12 Comments:
1182.	Name: Jesse Eng on 2014-08-29 16:25:52 Comments: Our water and wastewater bills are already very high. I oppose reconsolidation of the anthem and agua fria wastewater districts.
1183.	Name: Kevin F. McCarthy on 2014-08-29 17:17:07 Comments:
1184.	Name: Angela Benson on 2014-08-29 17:32:51 Comments:

1185.	Name: Derrik Easley on 2014-08-29 17:35:23 Comments: Epcor rates are already outrageous! We don't need to be paying them more!
1186.	Name: Ro Vaselaar on 2014-08-29 17:40:15 Comments:
1187.	Name: Bob Jaynes on 2014-08-29 18:56:58 Comments:
1188.	Name: Elizabeth Ling on 2014-08-29 19:34:14 Comments: our water rates are outrageous now!!
1189.	Name: John L Sousa on 2014-08-29 20:17:22 Comments: I am ABSOLUTELY OPPOSE reconsolidation.
1190.	Name: Jane Ritter on 2014-08-29 20:32:16 Comments:
1191.	Name: Paul Raczkowski on 2014-08-29 20:55:58  Comments: Consolidation of the regional Epcor system has some financial merit & would give incentive to run the entire operation as efficiently as possible.  Reconsolidating the Agua system with the larger Anthem one sounds like a scheme for the parent company to recoup operating losses not obtained through ACC Agua rate increases.
1192.	Name: William Slosarik on 2014-08-30 00:06:10 Comments:
1193.	Name: Prem on 2014-08-30 03:57:18 Comments:
1194.	Name: Barbara Symmonds on 2014-08-30 04:22:52 Comments:
1195.	Name: Sean Toomey on 2014-08-30 08:05:42 Comments: Oppose
1196.	Name: Sharon Millett on 2014-08-30 14:21:40 Comments:
1197.	Name: Kim Kolberg on 2014-08-30 14:50:04 Comments:

1198.	Name: Joseph E Dondanville on 2014-08-30 15:15:00 Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation
1199.	Name: Casey Cottrell on 2014-08-30 15:30:53 Comments:
1200.	Name: Craig A Coddington on 2014-08-30 17:48:44 Comments:
1201.	Name: Brian Woods on 2014-08-31 00:51:20 Comments:
1202.	Name: Teresa Kruger on 2014-08-31 01:38:39 Comments:
1203.	Name: Brandon Olivas on 2014-08-31 04:12:24 Comments:
1204.	Name: Joyce Traylor on 2014-08-31 04:33:24 Comments: Oppose Reconsolidation
1205.	Name: steve and dorothy mccarty on 2014-08-31 14:41:35 Comments: oppose reconsolidation
1206.	Name: Chris alevritis on 2014-08-31 17:35:06 Comments:
1207.	Name: Edward Shadley on 2014-08-31 21:04:30 Comments:
1208.	Name: Susan Peddie on 2014-09-01 00:34:33 Comments:
1209.	Name: Fernando Turrietta on 2014-09-01 01:48:49 Comments:
1210.	Name: Karen Batson on 2014-09-01 16:48:44 Comments:
1211.	Name: Federico Zamora on 2014-09-01 17:00:19 Comments:

1212.	Name: robert west on 2014-09-01 19:08:01 Comments: opposed
1213.	Name: Shirley Coddington on 2014-09-01 23:44:15 Comments:
1214.	Name: Dennis Brower on 2014-09-02 01:39:20 Comments:
1215.	Name: Joy Brower on 2014-09-02 01:41:22 Comments:
1216.	Name: keith coddington on 2014-09-02 05:45:52 Comments:
1217.	Name: Gary Yiatchos on 2014-09-02 17:43:18  Comments: Leave the decision as is or consolidate the entire Maricopa County but don't return to the inequitable reconsolidating with Aqua Fria Waterwater District
1218.	Name: John Schwalbach on 2014-09-02 18:22:54 Comments: oppose consolidation
1219.	Name: Ellie Dotson on 2014-09-02 18:56:20 Comments:
1220.	Name: Kristen Taylor on 2014-09-02 19:25:06 Comments:
1221.	Name: Holly DeWald on 2014-09-02 21:23:31 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1222.	Name: Denis MacPherson on 2014-09-02 22:24:07 Comments:
1223.	Name: Evan Baltz on 2014-09-02 23:04:55 Comments:
1224.	Name: Larry Link on 2014-09-03 00:48:42 Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District!
1225.	Name: Colleen Christman on 2014-09-03 00:52:10

1226.	Name: Carol Moore on 2014-09-03 01:54:52 Comments: THIS IS OUTRAGEOUS. YOU WILL THINK OF EVERY WAY YOU CAN TO STEAL MONEY FROM THE HOMEOWNERS. SHAME ON YOU. YOUR RATES ARE EXCESSSIVE ALREADY
1227.	Name: Jessica lams on 2014-09-03 02:22:33 Comments:
1228.	Name: Sue Jaeger Mitchell on 2014-09-03 03:05:25 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1229.	Name: Dave Mitchell on 2014-09-03 03:07:57 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1230.	Name: Dorian Brown on 2014-09-03 17:59:34 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1231.	Name: Angela Cook on 2014-09-03 19:37:48 Comments:
1232.	Name: Michelle Walford on 2014-09-03 22:37:19 Comments:
1233.	Name: Thelma Enzie on 2014-09-04 01:44:45 Comments:
1234.	Name: Mark Sasser on 2014-09-04 16:33:52 Comments:
1235.	Name: jane teixeira on 2014-09-04 17:28:02 Comments:
1236.	Name: David Kelly on 2014-09-04 17:36:36 Comments:
1237.	Name: Mikki sapien on 2014-09-04 17:37:00 Comments:
1238.	Name: Molly Allen on 2014-09-04 17:38:06 Comments: Oppose

1239.	Name: MONICA HARRINGTON on 2014-09-04 17:40:17 Comments:
1240.	Name: Danielle Oropeza on 2014-09-04 17:44:08 Comments:
1241.	Name: Matt Lohr on 2014-09-04 17:47:23 Comments: Oppose reconsolidation!
1242.	Name: Alex Sapien on 2014-09-04 17:47:25 Comments:
1243.	Name: Travis Ringe on 2014-09-04 18:08:04 Comments:
1244.	Name: Donna Engelhart on 2014-09-04 18:41:16 Comments:
1245.	Name: Amanda Oliver on 2014-09-04 18:45:20 Comments:
1246.	Name: Justin Saball on 2014-09-04 18:47:34 Comments: Oppose
1247.	Name: Danyelle Hill on 2014-09-04 18:58:17 Comments: Our water bill is outrageous!
1248.	Name: Jan Sorenson on 2014-09-04 19:12:13 Comments: no reconsolidation!
1249.	Name: Corinne Bobbie on 2014-09-04 19:13:50 Comments: Our water bill is crazy high! We didn't oversees for summer this year because we wee afraid of what the already outrageous bill might become!!
1250.	Name: Laura Riddle on 2014-09-04 19:21:18  Comments: Oppose reconsilidation with Agua Fria Wastewater District
1251.	Name: adam raley on 2014-09-04 19:36:55 Comments:
1252.	Name: Kwailan Barsotti on 2014-09-04 19:50:40

1253.	Name: Jessica Gamez on 2014-09-04 19:56:15 Comments: We already pay too much!
1254.	Name: Riccardo Pennuto on 2014-09-04 20:03:35 Comments: our rates can not go any higher. it's highway robbery what we pay
1255.	Name: angie nelson on 2014-09-04 20:43:46 Comments:
1256.	Name: April Paulson on 2014-09-04 21:00:38 Comments:
1257.	Name: Terrence Mullarkey on 2014-09-04 21:53:11 Comments: AZ Corp Commission - Do not go back on your committment to Anthem
1258.	Name: Richard Monahan on 2014-09-04 21:55:04 Comments:
1259.	Name: Kurt Duerksen on 2014-09-04 21:55:04 Comments:
1260.	Name: glenn philllips on 2014-09-04 21:56:17 Comments:
1261.	Name: Bob Bleecker on 2014-09-04 21:56:57 Comments:
1262.	Name: Betty Staniec on 2014-09-04 21:56:59 Comments:
1263.	Name: Kathleen Edbrooke on 2014-09-04 21:58:33 Comments:
1264.	Name: Stephen Johnson on 2014-09-04 22:02:04 Comments: This increase is unreasonable and unnecessary!
1265.	Name: Robin Bernardy on 2014-09-04 22:03:02 Comments:
1266.	Name: John Barchi on 2014-09-04 22:03:19

1267.	Name: van torrey on 2014-09-04 22:04:24  Comments: Do not raise our already out-of-control water rates.
1268.	Name: Jerry Hohensee on 2014-09-04 22:04:42 Comments:
1269.	Name: Lori Spath on 2014-09-04 22:05:56  Comments: As a new resident, I thought this issue was resolved. How unfair to find out the rules have changed
1270.	Name: Stephen Swedberg on 2014-09-04 22:06:03 Comments:
1271.	Name: Erin Duffy on 2014-09-04 22:07:15 Comments:
1272.	Name: John Anderson on 2014-09-04 22:08:02 Comments:
1273.	Name: Trey Hughes on 2014-09-04 22:09:37 Comments:
1274.	Name: Kevin Townsend on 2014-09-04 22:11:20 Comments:
1275.	Name: Norma Cantu on 2014-09-04 22:12:32 Comments: rates already so high!
1276.	Name: Mary Sue Johnson Knight on 2014-09-04 22:12:43 Comments:
1277.	Name: Constanty DeCinko on 2014-09-04 22:12:46 Comments: Lower our rates!
1278.	Name: Trudy Taylor on 2014-09-04 22:13:25 Comments:
1279.	Name: Pamela Charnota on 2014-09-04 22:15:17  Comments: New to Anthem doesn't seem fair to reconsolidate after an agreement

1280.	Name: Murray Gordon on 2014-09-04 22:15:46 Comments: Someone or some people must be yanking the commissions chain to vacilate on their decision process. No guts, no glory. Sounds like what our US Congress would pull.
1281.	Name: Chad Kurtzman on 2014-09-04 22:16:18 Comments:
1282.	Name: Lawrence Charnota on 2014-09-04 22:16:57 Comments: I am opposed to the reconsolidation
1283.	Name: Dennis dandria on 2014-09-04 22:17:19 Comments:
1284.	Name: Catherine Jantzen on 2014-09-04 22:17:20 Comments: Enough!
1285.	Name: Debra Vaight on 2014-09-04 22:17:36 Comments:
1286.	Name: Diane Smith on 2014-09-04 22:17:47  Comments: I am firmly against reconsolidation and an increase in my bill!!!!
1287.	Name: Paul LaJeunesse on 2014-09-04 22:17:56 Comments:
1288.	Name: Rosy Singh on 2014-09-04 22:18:53 Comments:
1289.	Name: raymond ybarra on 2014-09-04 22:19:31 Comments:
1290.	Name: Stephen Goodman on 2014-09-04 22:19:51 Comments:
1291.	Name: Vicki Bartimoccia on 2014-09-04 22:24:59 Comments:
1292.	Name: Don Charleston on 2014-09-04 22:25:14 Comments: The rates are already way too highr!!
1293.	Name: Patricia Hubbard on 2014-09-04 22:25:20

	are happy now.
1294.	Name: Bill Wuestenhoefer on 2014-09-04 22:27:09 Comments:
1295.	Name: Les Klahn on 2014-09-04 22:27:46 Comments:
1296.	Name: Carol Hanrath on 2014-09-04 22:34:52 Comments:
1297.	Name: Tracy Begalke on 2014-09-04 22:34:59 Comments:
1298.	Name: Rachel Hughes on 2014-09-04 22:36:37 Comments:
1299.	Name: Gary Gradke on 2014-09-04 22:37:40 Comments:
1300.	Name: Ken Miller on 2014-09-04 22:50:55 Comments: So much for watching out for the citizens of this state. The commission can even keep it's own decisions.
1301.	Name: Victoria Boyd on 2014-09-04 22:51:16 Comments:
1302.	Name: Gail Wuestenhoefer on 2014-09-04 22:53:43 Comments:
1303.	Name: Cindy Sparks on 2014-09-04 22:58:53 Comments:
1304.	Name: Kimberly Harris on 2014-09-04 23:02:56 Comments:
1305.	Name: Barbara Broyles on 2014-09-04 23:04:21 Comments: Our water costs are too high now! Do not increase them.
1306.	Name: Neil Hampson on 2014-09-04 23:20:27 Comments:

Comments: I sure hope everyone who voted for Doug Little and that other utilities puppet

1307.	Name: Daniel Watts on 2014-09-04 23:22:21 Comments:
1308.	Name: Deborah Watts on 2014-09-04 23:23:51 Comments:
1309.	Name: Harry M. Kaplan on 2014-09-04 23:33:39 Comments: Our rates are already higher than most!
1310.	Name: Henry Cinti on 2014-09-04 23:33:49 Comments:
1311.	Name: Harleston Runion on 2014-09-04 23:39:33  Comments: I'm on fixed income and don't need to pay for someone else's failure to update when I'm already paying for mine.
1312.	Name: Harleston Runion on 2014-09-04 23:39:52 Comments: I'm on fixed income and don't need to pay for someone else's failure to update when I'm already paying for mine.
1313.	Name: K Duane Kirk on 2014-09-04 23:41:00 Comments:
1314.	Name: George H Philbrick on 2014-09-04 23:41:01 Comments:
1315.	Name: Earl Carlow on 2014-09-04 23:46:16 Comments:
1316.	Name: Robert C Williams Jr on 2014-09-04 23:48:33 Comments:
1317.	Name: Mark Zimmerman on 2014-09-04 23:51:55 Comments:
1318.	Name: John Ballou on 2014-09-04 23:55:20 Comments:
1319.	Name: David Taylor on 2014-09-04 23:56:24  Comments: Either leave the rate alone or combine all districts into one.

1320.	Name: Richard Kruse on 2014-09-04 23:58:15 Comments:
1321.	Name: Rose marmol on 2014-09-04 23:58:29 Comments: Enough of the obscene rates!!!
1322.	Name: Larry Bahn on 2014-09-04 23:59:30 Comments:
1323.	Name: Robert P Hall on 2014-09-05 00:02:28  Comments: This is unbelievable that this commission would even consider reversing their decision!!
1324.	Name: Murray Little on 2014-09-05 00:02:50 Comments: We pay enough as it is
1325.	Name: Charles E Filteau on 2014-09-05 00:04:13  Comments: I purchased a lot and had a home built in Anthem in 2002. One of the reasons I did so was the promise of water. Never, in my most horrible dreams, did I believe that someone would purchase the rights to our water and then try to consolidate our water district with another district (Agua Fria) just so that we could help finance the Aqua Fria district to reduce their costs at the expense of increaing our costs. IT JUST ISN'T FAIR. Their water district is miles from us.
1326.	Name: Don Trefry on 2014-09-05 00:05:20 Comments: I OPPOSE RECONSOLIDATION WITH AGUA FRIA AND BELIEVE THE COMMISSION MUST HONOR THEIR COMMITMENT
1327.	Name: Ruben Kafenbaum on 2014-09-05 00:14:31 Comments: I reject the ACC considering to reconsolidate the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District as an equatable solution.
1328.	Name: jack vogel on 2014-09-05 00:15:58 Comments:
1329.	Name: richard fiori on 2014-09-05 00:17:41 Comments:
1330.	Name: Phil Abbinanti on 2014-09-05 00:27:07 Comments: Commission should honor their commitment
1331.	Name: Patsy Long on 2014-09-05 00:30:29 Comments: THIS RATE INCREASE HAS TO STOP. HOW CAN THEY KEEP CHANGING THEIR MINDS ON THIS. IT'S ALREADY A DONE DEAL. EPCOR HAS

# MADE A COMMITMENT.

1332.	Name: Cindy Bone on 2014-09-05 00:32:23 Comments:
1333.	Name: Brian Berg on 2014-09-05 00:34:27 Comments:
1334.	Name: Lori Patterson on 2014-09-05 00:35:27 Comments:
1335.	Name: Stewart Gill on 2014-09-05 00:37:15 Comments:
1336.	Name: Jane H Shaw on 2014-09-05 00:39:39 Comments:
1337.	Name: Michael Rucker on 2014-09-05 00:53:08 Comments:
1338.	Name: Mike Knapp on 2014-09-05 00:58:57 Comments:
1339.	Name: Stan Huff on 2014-09-05 01:08:30 Comments:
1340.	Name: Arleen & Lee Boyer on 2014-09-05 01:13:31 Comments:
1341.	Name: Jerry Baker on 2014-09-05 01:13:36 Comments: Agree with our petition
1342.	Name: Stephen Weber on 2014-09-05 01:21:02 Comments:
1343.	Name: marvin lieber on 2014-09-05 01:37:09 Comments: no raise in water fees
1344.	Name: Colleen Christman on 2014-09-05 01:41:01 Comments:
1345.	Name: Barry Allan on 2014-09-05 01:51:20

1346.	Name: Mitch Zarowny on 2014-09-05 01:54:20 Comments:
1347.	Name: Babette Smith on 2014-09-05 01:56:38 Comments: Be a promise keeper!
1348.	Name: Jack Maltby on 2014-09-05 02:00:51 Comments:
1349.	Name: Andrew Fayen on 2014-09-05 02:09:09 Comments:
1350.	Name: Joe Petromzio on 2014-09-05 02:25:08 Comments:
1351.	Name: Yvonne Zarowny on 2014-09-05 02:29:34 Comments:
1352.	Name: Slawomir Organowski on 2014-09-05 02:32:47 Comments: keep wastewater rates low for Anthem
1353.	Name: Katherine DeGrandchamp on 2014-09-05 03:06:53 Comments: please do NOT increase our wastewater rate!
1354.	Name: Andrew Zychowski on 2014-09-05 03:17:47 Comments: No increases!
1355.	Name: michelle nicholas on 2014-09-05 03:18:28 Comments:
1356.	Name: Gail on 2014-09-05 03:20:39 Comments:
1357.	Name: Courtney Todd on 2014-09-05 03:21:55 Comments:
1358.	Name: Linda Procter on 2014-09-05 04:42:15 Comments: Do not reconsolidate Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wasteater District. Our rates are already among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in Arizona. Enough is enough!

1359.	Name: Bonnie J. Resteiner on 2014-09-05 04:55:53 Comments:
1360.	Name: Rosalyn Callahan on 2014-09-05 04:59:29 Comments:
1361.	Name: Rosalyn Callahan on 2014-09-05 04:59:30 Comments:
1362.	Name: Emily Locke on 2014-09-05 05:10:06 Comments:
1363.	Name: MIchelle Shapiro on 2014-09-05 05:34:57 Comments:
1364.	Name: Dale rankin on 2014-09-05 05:38:04 Comments:
1365.	Name: May Abadi on 2014-09-05 06:04:07 Comments:
1366.	Name: Sean Rankin on 2014-09-05 06:05:23 Comments:
1367.	Name: Vicki Herring on 2014-09-05 10:16:57 Comments:
1368.	Name: Roland Coleman on 2014-09-05 10:39:48 Comments: A deal is a deal.
1369.	Name: Robert Golanski on 2014-09-05 11:07:59 Comments:
1370.	Name: jeff clark on 2014-09-05 11:41:05 Comments:
1371.	Name: Andrew Berry on 2014-09-05 12:19:52 Comments:
1372.	Name: Ed Begalke on 2014-09-05 12:42:18  Comments: Keep your word!

1373.	Name: Mark Kalas on 2014-09-05 13:06:03 Comments:
1374.	Name: Jennifer Hughes on 2014-09-05 13:40:16 Comments:
1375.	Name: Beth Geyer on 2014-09-05 13:48:45 Comments:
1376.	Name: Katie Chenault on 2014-09-05 13:55:19 Comments:
1377.	Name: Mark Hughes on 2014-09-05 13:59:03 Comments:
1378.	Name: Trent Traylor on 2014-09-05 14:01:17 Comments:
1379.	Name: Julie Schoppaul on 2014-09-05 14:03:11 Comments: We oppose the reconsolidatingdo NOT increase our water rateswe cannot handle it!
1380.	Name: Matthew Shapiro on 2014-09-05 14:04:16 Comments: Because a \$150 water bill is ridiculous. And that doesnt even include waste management!
1381.	Name: AL AND JUDY CARLOTTI on 2014-09-05 14:25:02 Comments: WE OPPOSE RECONSOLIDATIONOUR WATER BILLS ARE WAY TO HIGH NOW !!!
1382.	Name: AL AND JUDY CARLOTTI on 2014-09-05 14:25:03 Comments: WE OPPOSE RECONSOLIDATIONOUR WATER BILLS ARE WAY TO HIGH NOW !!!
1383.	Name: Gary and Marge Bartlett on 2014-09-05 14:39:42 Comments:
1384.	Name: Angie McFarland on 2014-09-05 15:07:08  Comments: Our water bills are already ridiculously high.
1385.	Name: Kenneth Compton on 2014-09-05 15:21:33

1386.	Name: Louis Spiegel on 2014-09-05 15:29:50 Comments:
1387.	Name: Annetta Spiegel on 2014-09-05 15:32:32  Comments: I am a retiree on a fixed income. I feel the water rates are already very expensive!
1388.	Name: Daniel Russell on 2014-09-05 15:42:15  Comments: I watched what you did with regard to increasing our electric utility rates. It actually COST us money to do what you told us to do by CONSERVING OUR UTILITY USE. Now you are planning to increase our water rates so residents in other communities can lower their utility rates. If you do this I promise to do EVERYTHING I can to unseat you in COMING ELECTIONS, even if it COST US MONEY to do so. I intend to build an Anthem Tea Party "Advocacy Campaign" to unseat you if you approve a plan that raises our wastewater cost so residents in other communities can lower their rates. This type of "thinking" is not what we voted you into office to do. Trust us, we mean this.
1389.	Name: jeanette nanson on 2014-09-05 15:43:55 Comments:
1390.	Name: John Lauher on 2014-09-05 15:47:56  Comments: This is an OUTRAGE! Politics at their worst!
1391.	Name: Joe Hamilton on 2014-09-05 15:50:12 Comments:
1392.	Name: Jon Meyers on 2014-09-05 15:59:40 Comments:
1393.	Name: Rita and David Romeo on 2014-09-05 16:08:35 Comments: Enough!!!!!
1394.	Name: Nancee Langley on 2014-09-05 16:16:23 Comments: Unfair to reverse decision. We pay some of the highest rates in the valley already.
1395.	Name: Mike Bourg on 2014-09-05 16:27:12 Comments:
1396.	Name: Michelle Irizarry on 2014-09-05 16:33:39 Comments:

1397.	Name: gerald thaut on 2014-09-05 16:38:04 Comments: enough!
1398.	Name: Stephen F Grover on 2014-09-05 16:39:35 Comments:
1399.	Name: Kathleen Grover on 2014-09-05 16:42:08 Comments:
1400.	Name: Nathaniel Walters on 2014-09-05 16:42:52 Comments:
1401.	Name: Rick Bloyer on 2014-09-05 16:43:50 Comments:
1402.	Name: Rick Nollenberger on 2014-09-05 17:15:41 Comments:
1403.	Name: Nicole Hannan on 2014-09-05 17:31:15 Comments: AZ
1404.	Name: Eugene DeCarli, Jr. on 2014-09-05 17:36:39 Comments:
1405.	Name: donald wertheim on 2014-09-05 18:05:33 Comments:
1406.	Name: James Rich on 2014-09-05 18:30:50 Comments:
1407.	Name: John Dey on 2014-09-05 19:13:39 Comments: Something is very wrong if our already unreasonable water rates are not enough.
1408.	Name: Yvonne Prevost on 2014-09-05 19:18:18  Comments: We already pay more than we should have to now.
1409.	Name: Stefan Goldstein on 2014-09-05 19:29:15 Comments:
1410.	Name: Christina Holt on 2014-09-05 19:36:02

1411.	Name: Robert Holt on 2014-09-05 19:46:07 Comments:
1412.	Name: Maria Lennon on 2014-09-05 19:57:54 Comments:
1413.	Name: Amy Pieh on 2014-09-05 20:32:51 Comments:
1414.	Name: Jane Jackson on 2014-09-05 20:56:33 Comments:
1415.	Name: Peggy eggemeyer on 2014-09-05 21:01:58 Comments:
1416.	Name: Behija mehremic on 2014-09-05 21:09:34 Comments:
1417.	Name: Sabrina Charles on 2014-09-05 21:48:22 Comments:
1418.	Name: Jason Charles on 2014-09-05 21:49:30 Comments:
1419.	Name: Eileen Cherry on 2014-09-05 21:51:26 Comments: I am strongly opposed to reconsolidation with Agua Fria wasteware district!
1420.	Name: Anita J Gibbs on 2014-09-05 21:55:05 Comments:
1421.	Name: Mike Link on 2014-09-05 22:07:53 Comments:
1422.	Name: Mary Dill on 2014-09-05 23:05:12 Comments:
1423.	Name: Nina and Bob Goelz on 2014-09-05 23:13:21 Comments:
1424.	Name: Thaddeus Murawski on 2014-09-05 23:35:10

1425.	Name: Margaret Dykes on 2014-09-05 23:38:20 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation with Agua Fria district!
1426.	Name: Daniel Perry on 2014-09-06 00:23:11 Comments: Ridiculous to go back on their word.
1427.	Name: John mayahara on 2014-09-06 01:46:10 Comments:
1428.	Name: John mayahara on 2014-09-06 01:46:10 Comments:
1429.	Name: David Harris on 2014-09-06 01:55:13 Comments:
1430.	Name: kerri allard on 2014-09-06 02:37:02 Comments:
1431.	Name: Kara MacHugh on 2014-09-06 04:27:28 Comments:
1432.	Name: Robert Sibelius on 2014-09-06 12:13:26 Comments:
1433.	Name: Edward Abbott on 2014-09-06 13:27:49 Comments: We are already over paying for this service
1434.	Name: Pamelalowry Lowry on 2014-09-06 16:18:53 Comments:
1435.	Name: Jared Kipp on 2014-09-06 17:57:11 Comments:
1436.	Name: Jolinda Hort on 2014-09-06 19:22:17 Comments:
1437.	Name: R. Hort on 2014-09-06 22:23:50 Comments:
1438.	Name: Peter Martinez on 2014-09-07 00:23:28

1439.	Name: Karen Martin on 2014-09-07 04:07:49 Comments:
1440.	Name: Maureen Wood on 2014-09-07 16:35:45  Comments: It is a violation of the rights of Anthem residents to carry the burden of subsidizing of Agua Fria Wastewater rates.
1441.	Name: robert wilson on 2014-09-07 17:53:44 Comments:
1442.	Name: Natasha Cooper on 2014-09-07 19:25:49 Comments: Ncooper@da.lacounty.gov
1443.	Name: Michelle Ochenkoski on 2014-09-07 19:49:55 Comments:
1444.	Name: Linda Runion on 2014-09-08 14:41:07 Comments: Don't raise my water bill by making me pay for others.
1445.	Name: helaine henry/steven a rouse on 2014-09-08 16:05:22 Comments:
1446.	Name: Paul Ruedinger on 2014-09-08 16:48:35 Comments: It is my opionionthat iti is unjust to consolidate Anthem Wastewater Districit and Agua Fria Wastewater District.
1447.	Name: Kenn Gibson on 2014-09-08 17:05:08  Comments: I do not support any changes which cannot be shown to have a direct and significant improvement to my existing services.
1448.	Name: Sean McGlaughlin on 2014-09-08 17:29:07 Comments:
1449.	Name: Lucy Wolf on 2014-09-08 19:03:01 Comments: Our rates are already excessive.
1450.	Name: PAMELA HOFFMANN on 2014-09-08 20:13:38 Comments:
1451.	Name: Belinda Floriano on 2014-09-08 23:03:57

something needs to be done.
Name: Karen Mollenhauer on 2014-09-08 23:22:13  Comments: I'm not too clear on this issue. Could someone contact me with information concerning the petition.
Name: Jody Ford on 2014-09-09 00:49:28 Comments:
Name: Benjamin Davis on 2014-09-09 01:30:17 Comments:
Name: Gloria C. Wyatt on 2014-09-09 02:04:52 Comments:
Name: Joan & Stephen Hosmer on 2014-09-09 02:12:13  Comments: Totally unfair to even consider reconsolidation with Agua Fria Wastewater  District which we are not connected to!
Name: J McCloskey on 2014-09-09 02:35:30 Comments: Equality in rate structure.
Name: Denise Goldin on 2014-09-09 06:20:10  Comments: I oppose reconsolidating with Agua Fria as this places an arbitrarily higher water rate upon Anthem residents.
Name: Beth Lee on 2014-09-09 11:36:58 Comments:
Name: Tom Snelling on 2014-09-09 15:57:40 Comments:
Name: Carolyn Kraft on 2014-09-09 16:54:34 Comments:
Name: Linda Prose on 2014-09-09 20:45:32 Comments:
Name: Karen Bodeen on 2014-09-09 23:13:28 Comments:

Comments: Im a new resident and think the amount of money for the water is outrageous

1464.	Name: Brent Jackson on 2014-09-09 23:37:50  Comments: The A.C.C. made the right decision in 2012 Do NOT reconsolidate these districts!
1465.	Name: Scott Muravnick on 2014-09-10 18:11:51 Comments:
1466.	Name: fernando coronado jr on 2014-09-10 20:41:38 Comments: i oppose this rate increase.
1467.	Name: ronald raab on 2014-09-10 22:42:41 Comments:
1468.	Name: Brenda Sherman on 2014-09-11 02:46:09 Comments:
1469.	Name: David Mayer on 2014-09-11 03:01:36 Comments:
1470.	Name: Rick Olson on 2014-09-11 03:10:46 Comments:
1471.	Name: Margit Ramsey on 2014-09-11 15:01:42 Comments:
1472.	Name: Colleen P Kupka on 2014-09-11 15:56:15 Comments: The Anthem Community continues to get "bad press" between the water rates, water leaks, and property value declines. Just one more stab to our economy in Anthem.
1473.	Name: Dennis & Kathy Condon on 2014-09-11 23:53:49 Comments:
1474.	Name: Steve Lamontagne on 2014-09-12 01:14:27 Comments: Count me in as against reconsolidation
1475.	Name: Robert Scharrer on 2014-09-12 01:20:28 Comments:
1476.	Name: J. Locke on 2014-09-12 02:30:17 Comments: Greed and shame!!!

1477.	Name: Joann Andrejeski on 2014-09-12 06:12:54 Comments:
1478.	Name: Lynne wiebe on 2014-09-12 14:01:06 Comments:
1479.	Name: Heidi Wright on 2014-09-12 16:25:11  Comments: I was never informed when I bought my home that I would be responsible to reimburse the water company for infrastructure. I also do not know why the costs to provideing water to ALL customers is paid for by all customers. Is that know how a bussiness is supposed to run?!?
1480.	Name: James Beall on 2014-09-12 16:46:41 Comments:
1481.	Name: Ruth R. Lee on 2014-09-12 16:54:07 Comments:
1482.	Name: James Jennings on 2014-09-12 17:02:04 Comments:
1483.	Name: Craig Seppelfrick on 2014-09-12 18:29:07 Comments:
1484.	Name: James Bradburn on 2014-09-12 18:39:12 Comments:
1485.	Name: Robert A. Ottaviani on 2014-09-12 20:24:49 Comments:
1486.	Name: KM Bradburn on 2014-09-12 20:51:22 Comments:
1487.	Name: Chris Ensign on 2014-09-12 22:00:39  Comments: It makes no sense for Anthem rate payers in the Anthem waste water district to be reconsolidated with the Agua Fria waste water district and then subsidize the rate payers in the Agua Fria waste water district.
1488.	Name: Michael Stephens on 2014-09-12 23:21:52 Comments:
1489.	Name: Paul A Sedillo on 2014-09-13 00:53:29 Comments: Unfair to Anthem residents!

1490.	Name: Larry Webb on 2014-09-13 17:41:36 Comments:
1491.	Name: Tom Grasse on 2014-09-13 19:17:49 Comments:
1492.	Name: mark mohlenbrock on 2014-09-13 20:18:14 Comments:
1493.	Name: Richard Rountree on 2014-09-13 23:05:01 Comments:
1494.	Name: Patricia Armitage on 2014-09-14 00:07:39 Comments: No to reconsolidation!
1495.	Name: Kurt Mindy on 2014-09-14 16:48:11 Comments: The rates are too high now. Epcor has a track record of poor service, quality, and inflated rates.
1496.	Name: Kristy Hall on 2014-09-14 21:13:25 Comments:
1497.	Name: Steven Trammell on 2014-09-14 21:15:54 Comments:
1498.	Name: Tanya Harnish on 2014-09-14 22:03:50 Comments:
1499.	Name: frank giordano on 2014-09-14 22:45:31 Comments:
1500.	Name: jay Kopas on 2014-09-15 00:53:14 Comments:
1501.	Name: James Helton on 2014-09-15 13:23:59 Comments:
1502.	Name: Barbara Spagnola on 2014-09-15 15:50:29 Comments:
1503.	Name: Robert Richards on 2014-09-15 18:13:08

1504.	Name: Jeanne Cannon on 2014-09-15 23:25:58  Comments: They should keep the negoated settlement with Anthem. Otherwise, they reduce the credability of the board and the negoating system.
1505.	Name: Victoria Conas on 2014-09-16 14:25:50 Comments:
1506.	Name: Kindra Barbre on 2014-09-16 15:10:26 Comments:
1507.	Name: Annette M. Casolari on 2014-09-16 15:33:22 Comments:
1508.	Name: Brian K. Lewis on 2014-09-16 15:34:27 Comments:
1509.	Name: Jon George on 2014-09-16 22:10:46 Comments: We need this
1510.	Name: Aimee Wastell on 2014-09-17 03:42:50 Comments:
1511.	Name: Jim Manley on 2014-09-17 18:06:17 Comments:
1512.	Name: Allison Bouie on 2014-09-17 18:23:38 Comments:
1513.	Name: derek on 2014-09-17 18:43:06 Comments: I pay to much already.
1514.	Name: david nelson on 2014-09-17 19:00:38 Comments:
1515.	Name: Stefanie Gatz on 2014-09-17 19:37:09 Comments:
1516.	Name: Jessica Tubbs on 2014-09-17 21:21:03 Comments:

1517.	Name: Ramona Gagnon on 2014-09-17 22:35:14 Comments:
1518.	Name: Jene Dees on 2014-09-17 22:49:17 Comments: How is an 81 year old widow on a fixed income already stretched to the limit going to pay more?
1519.	Name: Raymond F. Wiltgen on 2014-09-17 23:08:51 Comments:
1520.	Name: Gina N Delgado on 2014-09-17 23:11:58 Comments:
1521.	Name: Merle Rowley on 2014-09-17 23:39:33 Comments: Opposed
1522.	Name: Joseph Weiss on 2014-09-18 00:06:38 Comments:
1523.	Name: Kristine Weiss on 2014-09-18 00:09:35 Comments:
1524.	Name: Laura Novak on 2014-09-18 00:23:47 Comments:
1525.	Name: Michael Novak on 2014-09-18 00:26:52 Comments:
1526.	Name: Kevin Pollock on 2014-09-18 01:00:46 Comments:
1527.	Name: Christi Bielstein on 2014-09-18 01:16:54 Comments:
1528.	Name: Anthony Tisot on 2014-09-18 01:49:45 Comments:
1529.	Name: Ida Parsons on 2014-09-18 01:55:48 Comments:
1530.	Name: Ken Miller on 2014-09-18 01;59:25  Comments: We been paying for Agua Fria for 15 years without getting anything. We fee

1531.	Name: John Angelo on 2014-09-18 02:22:08 Comments:
1532.	Name: Doug DeMuth on 2014-09-18 03:49:27 Comments:
1533.	Name: Roy Snyder on 2014-09-18 05:13:18 Comments: I vote NO to reconsolidation!
1534.	Name: Holly on 2014-09-18 06:43:46 Comments:
1535.	Name: Chuck on 2014-09-18 06:46:48 Comments:
1536.	Name: Dick Tetsell on 2014-09-18 13:34:35 Comments: I oppose Reconsolidation!
1537.	Name: April Strunk on 2014-09-18 13:42:31 Comments: strunk1983@gmail.com
1538.	Name: Howard Weatherford on 2014-09-18 14:38:30 Comments: rates need to be reduced
1539.	Name: Archibald Dean on 2014-09-18 15:29:58 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewater District.
1540.	Name: Ufuoma Omosebi on 2014-09-18 15:38:23 Comments:
1541.	Name: Patricia Wilkie on 2014-09-18 15:39:24 Comments: Don't raise our rates,please. I'ts hard tp afford the fees as thy are
1542.	Name: Ufuoma Omosebi on 2014-09-18 15:40:33 Comments:
1543.	Name: Dennis Brigham on 2014-09-18 15:52:52 Comments:
1544.	Name: EE on 2014-09-18 15:56:20

Comments:	The re consolidation is unfair and unreasona	ble.

1545.	Name: Jennifer Thompson on 2014-09-18 15:57:37 Comments: No water increases!
1546.	Name: Trudi Brigham on 2014-09-18 15:58:30 Comments:
1547.	Name: Debbie lannarelli on 2014-09-18 16:00:55 Comments:
1548.	Name: ufuoma omosebi on 2014-09-18 16:12:48 Comments:
1549.	Name: Ayo omosebi on 2014-09-18 16:13:15 Comments:
1550.	Name: Margit Ramsey on 2014-09-18 16:20:49 Comments: s
1551.	Name: Miller B.Lee on 2014-09-18 16:39:11 Comments:
1552.	Name: Ames & Sandy Putnam on 2014-09-18 16:54:50 Comments:
1553.	Name: Delores Spencer on 2014-09-18 17:00:45 Comments:
1554.	Name: Christian Spencer on 2014-09-18 17:02:17 Comments:
1555.	Name: Robert G Nottoli on 2014-09-18 17:21:06  Comments: I Strongly oppose reconsolidation with Agua Fria. The rates are already a deterrent to selling homes and are outrageous.
1556.	Name: Mary Elizabeth Denkinger on 2014-09-18 17:58:40 Comments:
1557.	Name: Corrine Lancaster-Riley on 2014-09-18 18:17:58  Comments: I am strongly against reconsolidating with Agua Fria. Anthems water rates are already too high which poses as a major turn off to perspective home buyers as we

1558.	Name: James Speichinger on 2014-09-18 18:36:52 Comments:
1559.	Name: Christine Sleighel on 2014-09-18 19:17:51 Comments: CONTINUE TO DECNSOLIDATE FROM AUGUA FRIA!!!!!
1560.	Name: Dan and Karen Rowley on 2014-09-18 19:19:38  Comments: This proposed reconsolidation would be patently unfair to Anthem residents.
1561.	Name: Thomas Smith on 2014-09-18 19:28:10 Comments:
1562.	Name: Ryan Maddox on 2014-09-18 20:12:33 Comments:
1563.	Name: Margaret Christianson on 2014-09-18 21:08:53 Comments: oppose reconsolidation
1564.	Name: Frances D Erickson on 2014-09-18 21:53:22 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
1565.	Name: Jacqueline kimbell on 2014-09-18 21:54:30 Comments:
1566.	Name: Larry and Mary Claire Wilson on 2014-09-18 21:55:20 Comments: Reject Reconsideration of the Anthem Wasterwater District and the Aqua Fria Waste Water District
1567.	Name: Gilbert Bernal on 2014-09-18 22:03:08 Comments:
1568.	Name: Bruce Scheller and Priscilla Scheller on 2014-09-18 22:35:15 Comments: Please honor the rate freeze
1569.	Name: Pam Mavroudis on 2014-09-18 23:14:08 Comments: NO!!!!!!
1570.	Name: Fred Mavroudis on 2014-09-18 23:17:33 Comments: are you kidding NO

1571.	Name: Lee Rohlfs on 2014-09-18 23:38:04 Comments:
1572.	Name: Murray Gordon on 2014-09-19 00:10:48 Comments:
1573.	Name: Shane James on 2014-09-19 01:06:34 Comments: Anthem residents should own our water infrastructure based on how much we have spent, not EPCOR via Az American, Pulte, Citizen. Paying for it a third time is extortion.
1574.	Name: Carrie Marino on 2014-09-19 02:09:54 Comments:
1575.	Name: Charles E Wright on 2014-09-19 04:04:18  Comments: This Reconsolidation will not be in the best interest for Anthem Residents.
1576.	Name: Richard M Shanafelt on 2014-09-19 08:10:20 Comments:
1577.	Name: Kathy A Shanafelt on 2014-09-19 08:13:10 Comments:
1578.	Name: CHAS AUTEN on 2014-09-19 12:58:05 Comments: If water rates are too high it will have a negative effect on marketability and home values potentially.
1579.	Name: Diana Heaton on 2014-09-19 15:38:31 Comments:
1580.	Name: Irma Wallace on 2014-09-19 16:23:59 Comments:
1581.	Name: Richard W. Heaton II on 2014-09-19 16:42:04 Comments:
1582.	Name: Kristin Mortensen on 2014-09-19 17:16:58 Comments:
1583.	Name: Rose Pasquarelli on 2014-09-19 17:37:07  Comments: We alread fought to separate from this situation. Do not allow us to be there again.

1584.	Name: kenneth R. Lane on 2014-09-19 17:54:25 Comments:
1585.	Name: Clinton Mortensen on 2014-09-19 18:22:02 Comments: Why are we doing this again? Deconsolidation was completed in 2012 after extensive review and public comment.
1586.	Name: LINDA LEE KUNNERT on 2014-09-19 18:38:57 Comments:
1587.	Name: DAVID J. KUNNERT on 2014-09-19 18:41:06 Comments:
1588.	Name: Thomas W Waltermire on 2014-09-19 21:25:34 Comments:
1589.	Name: Elizabeth Waltermire on 2014-09-19 21:26:19 Comments:
1590.	Name: Damien Crumley on 2014-09-19 23:35:28 Comments:
1591.	Name: Diane Hopkins on 2014-09-20 00:33:08  Comments: it is unfair that Anthem water rates are so high.
1592.	Name: Bryan Hopkins on 2014-09-20 00:37:33 Comments: Anthem is not part of the Agua Fria Wastewater District
1593.	Name: Vivian Tortorella on 2014-09-20 00:37:43 Comments:
1594.	Name: Luann Spraker on 2014-09-20 00:59:22 Comments:
1595.	Name: Robert Spraker on 2014-09-20 01:01:43 Comments:
1596.	Name: Beverly McCarty on 2014-09-20 01:13:43 Comments:
1597.	Name: AliceAbbitt on 2014-09-20 01:46:43 Comments:

1598.	Name: Rich Varner on 2014-09-20 03:00:48  Comments: Please don't raise our rates, we already pay so much higher than the rest of Phoenix and its just becoming ridiculous.
1599.	Name: Patricia Reagan on 2014-09-20 03:01:34 Comments: our water rates our ridiculous!
1600.	Name: Patricia Reagan on 2014-09-20 03:04:30 Comments: our rates are too high already
1601.	Name: David H Thomas on 2014-09-20 04:45:02 Comments: againstwe need a breakplease consider this matter
1602.	Name: Tracey Patyniak on 2014-09-20 14:48:20 Comments:
1603.	Name: Ellen Mackay on 2014-09-20 15:29:28 Comments:
1604.	Name: Robert Grothouse on 2014-09-20 15:36:45 Comments:
1605.	Name: David Finney on 2014-09-20 15:51:23 Comments:
1606.	Name: jack vogel on 2014-09-20 15:57:07 Comments: i oppose reconsolidation
1607.	Name: Melissa Samuelson on 2014-09-20 19:20:06 Comments:
1608.	Name: ronald raab on 2014-09-20 19:31:05 Comments: we do not need high bills
1609.	Name: Maura Bouchard on 2014-09-20 20:04:27 Comments:
1610.	Name: Dennis Britton on 2014-09-20 20:49:17 Comments: I oppose water rate increases!
1611.	Name: Patty Britton on 2014-09-20 20:51:23

1612.	Name: Susan Weaver on 2014-09-20 20:57:43 Comments:
1613.	Name: Thomas Wyatt on 2014-09-20 21:07:23 Comments:
1614.	Name: Jeff Parker on 2014-09-20 21:58:02 Comments: Jeff@parker-home.com
1615.	Name: sally j.holder on 2014-09-20 22:11:53 Comments:
1616.	Name: Anthony DeSantis on 2014-09-20 23:41:12 Comments:
1617.	Name: jeanine ferrara on 2014-09-20 23:42:56 Comments:
1618.	Name: jeanine ferrara on 2014-09-20 23:43:18 Comments:
1619.	Name: Anthony Ferrara on 2014-09-20 23:43:51 Comments:
1620.	Name: Kristen Ferrara on 2014-09-20 23:44:19 Comments:
1621.	Name: Cara Sturgill on 2014-09-21 11:15:50 Comments:
1622.	Name: Shellie Orlowski on 2014-09-21 12:53:19 Comments:
1623.	Name: Molly Allen on 2014-09-21 15:44:52 Comments:
1624.	Name: Jessica gorman on 2014-09-21 16:54:42 Comments: No water rate increases!
1625.	Name: Greg Gorman on 2014-09-21 16:57:05

1626.	Name: Jeanine Zagerman on 2014-09-21 17:28:44 Comments:
1627.	Name: Murray Matthews on 2014-09-21 19:11:44 Comments: No additional increases. Our bill is already outrageous!
1628.	Name: Dawn Rundle on 2014-09-21 19:16:58 Comments:
1629.	Name: Jamie McLean on 2014-09-21 19:48:20 Comments:
1630.	Name: Marlena Van Zwol on 2014-09-21 20:58:14  Comments: our rates are outrageous now. Do not place additional fees on us.
1631.	Name: Thomas Kirk on 2014-09-21 21:00:47 Comments: Anthem resident - oppose
1632.	Name: Jason groendyk on 2014-09-21 21:04:56 Comments:
1633.	Name: Kevin Jones on 2014-09-21 21:10:41 Comments:
1634.	Name: Patrick Howley on 2014-09-21 21:13:44 Comments:
1635.	Name: John Siegel on 2014-09-21 21:19:59 Comments:
1636.	Name: Nathan Smith on 2014-09-21 21:22:59 Comments:
1637.	Name: Diane Steenman on 2014-09-21 21:28:49 Comments:
1638.	Name: Victor James Stubbs on 2014-09-21 21:30:59 Comments:
1639.	Name: Douglas Ramsey on 2014-09-21 21:32:57

# Comments: Please ad my name to the petition

1640.	Name: Karen Schermann on 2014-09-21 21:36:02 Comments:
1641.	Name: Nelson Redante on 2014-09-21 21:43:19 Comments:
1642.	Name: Terry Dalrymple on 2014-09-21 21:45:57 Comments: Water rates are going to drive us from our homes!
1643.	Name: Ken Koch on 2014-09-21 21:46:42 Comments: Anthem resident - oppose - rates already too high
1644.	Name: Charlene Koch on 2014-09-21 21:50:43 Comments: Oppose - water rates are way too high already.
1645.	Name: Kim on 2014-09-21 22:04:06 Comments:
1646.	Name: Rebekah Pereza on 2014-09-21 22:23:16 Comments:
1647.	Name: Mary Alice Hegreness on 2014-09-21 22:45:50 Comments:
1648.	Name: Samantha Nadel on 2014-09-21 22:53:35 Comments:
1649.	Name: Linda Smith on 2014-09-21 23:00:18 Comments:
1650.	Name: Jason Christofferson on 2014-09-21 23:32:28 Comments: Oppose rates to high
1651.	Name: Tannisha Smith on 2014-09-21 23:42:01 Comments:
1652.	Name: Bryan Oates on 2014-09-21 23:44:58 Comments:
1653.	Name: Vincent Altrui on 2014-09-21 23:48:23

## Comments: 1654. Name: christie equils on 2014-09-22 00:28:38 Comments: 1655. on 2014-09-22 00:41:15 Name: Linda Dupont Comments: OPPOSE higher rates!! Ridiculous, robbery! 1656. on 2014-09-22 01:32:55 Name: kazuko mayahara Comments: 1657. Name: LORI BRUMAGIN on 2014-09-22 01:33:35 Comments: 1658. Name: Jean OHara on 2014-09-22 02:00:08 Comments: 1659. Name: Thomas Meeks on 2014-09-22 02:11:42 Comments: 1660. Name: Tamara Christianson on 2014-09-22 04:05:23 Comments: 1661. on 2014-09-22 04:43:16 Name: james szura Comments: 1662. Name: Court Roberts on 2014-09-22 05:27:22 Comments: 1663. Name: Sahra Wheatley on 2014-09-22 06:23:13 Comments: 1664. Name: Anita Oppenheim on 2014-09-22 12:13:09 Comments: 1665. Name: Cheryl MacDonald on 2014-09-22 12:35:29 Comments: We should not be paying more for our water then the people in "West Anthem"

on 2014-09-22 13:02:03

1666.

Name: Crystal Toren

1667.	Name: Richard W. Heaton, II on 2014-09-22 14:24:27 Comments: under no circumstances should there be a reconsolidation!
1668.	Name: Ronald Di Lorenzo on 2014-09-22 14:30:45 Comments:
1669.	Name: Michelle Baltz on 2014-09-22 14:31:39 Comments:
1670.	Name: Christopher Cody on 2014-09-22 14:41:22 Comments: There is no way this makes sense and complies with Arizona law. The people of Anthem deserve fair rates.
1671.	Name: Ronald Winterbottom on 2014-09-22 15:15:09 Comments:
1672.	Name: Gail Winterbottom on 2014-09-22 15:16:23 Comments:
1673.	Name: Bill Edwards on 2014-09-22 15:24:15 Comments: I oppose consolidation
1674.	Name: John Crist on 2014-09-22 15:36:45 Comments:
1675.	Name: Jon McLuhan on 2014-09-22 15:37:19 Comments:
1676.	Name: Annaliese cox on 2014-09-22 16:05:11 Comments:
1677.	Name: Les James on 2014-09-22 16:38:01 Comments:
1678.	Name: Richard W. Heaton, II on 2014-09-22 16:54:17 Comments:
1679.	Name: Michael Vlcek on 2014-09-22 17:09:13 Comments:
1680.	Name: Russ Wagner on 2014-09-22 17:10:18 Comments:

1681.	Name: Amy Rouse on 2014-09-22 17:38:35 Comments:
1682.	Name: Sheila Penrose on 2014-09-22 17:49:06  Comments: I am in support of rejecting the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District.
1683.	Name: Michael Solgonick on 2014-09-22 17:49:23 Comments: I strongly oppose the consolidation
1684.	Name: Gary Truax on 2014-09-22 17:59:42 Comments:
1685.	Name: leslie carrell on 2014-09-22 18:16:17 Comments:
1686.	Name: Barb and Bill Hassing on 2014-09-22 18:41:32 Comments:
1687.	Name: Kathryn Rhinehart on 2014-09-22 18:51:23 Comments:
1688.	Name: Nicole Spencer on 2014-09-22 19:13:30 Comments:
1689.	Name: Dan Spencer on 2014-09-22 19:16:16 Comments:
1690.	Name: Mary Lee Dunning on 2014-09-22 19:41:12 Comments: I oppose reconsolidation due to the unfairness to Anthem residents who already pay outrageously high water/wastewater rates.
1691.	Name: Peggy McQuillen on 2014-09-22 20:22:34 Comments:
1692.	Name: chris harris on 2014-09-22 20:55:41 Comments: We've been here before! Stop the madness and thievery!
1693.	Name: David Armitage on 2014-09-22 21:28:49 Comments:

1694.	Name: Earl B. Wheeler on 2014-09-22 21:45:46 Comments: I believe the Districts need to be separate. ebw		
1695.	Name: Nick W Burge on 2014-09-23 02:50:28 Comments:		
1696.	Name: Jon George on 2014-09-23 02:53:40 Comments: Signed and supported		
1697.	Name: Adam Nixon on 2014-09-23 03:20:26 Comments:		
1698.	Name: Lisl Nixon on 2014-09-23 03:24:14 Comments:		
1699.	Name: Dennis Maughan on 2014-09-23 14:38:30 Comments: This was decided some time ago why do we have to revisit it again?		
1700.	Name: michael duffek on 2014-09-23 16:35:00  Comments: A promise made should be a promise kept. For over 10 years we have been paying for wastewater for the Agua Fria wastewater district. We are many miles away from this district and should never had to pay for their useage.		
1701.	Name: John Siegel on 2014-09-23 16:35:07 Comments:		
1702.	Name: Pam Hackett on 2014-09-23 17:07:07 Comments:		
1703.	Name: Joe Volinsky on 2014-09-23 17:10:21 Comments: Oppose Reconsolidation		
1704.	Name: Bob Hackett on 2014-09-23 17:14:36 Comments:		
1705.	Name: Bernice Volinsky on 2014-09-23 17:38:48 Comments:		
1706.	Name: Marti Blair on 2014-09-23 19:39:33  Comments: It's ridiculous that we are revising this. A decision is a decision.		
1707.	Name: Todd Milburn on 2014-09-23 21:48:42		

## Comments: 1708. Name: Mary Neff on 2014-09-23 23:06:29 Comments: 1709. Name: Galen neff on 2014-09-23 23:07:24 Comments: 1710. Name: Jackie Lombardo on 2014-09-24 00:29:39 Comments: 1711. Name: Randy Okerlund on 2014-09-24 02:04:03 Comments: 1712. on 2014-09-24 02:16:32 Name: Daniel J Digesti Comments: Totally unfair to the Residents of Anthem. 1713. on 2014-09-24 02:19:28 Name: Daniel J Digesti Comments: Totally unfair to the Residents of Anthem. We already and have been paying more than reasonible amount compared to other cities in the State of AZ. 1714. Name: Gregory Paulin on 2014-09-24 04:51:03 Comments: 1715. Name: pamela phillips on 2014-09-24 14:02:39 Comments: 1716. Name: Mark Flanders on 2014-09-24 14:55:35 Comments:

on 2014-09-24 15:09:31

Name: Margaret and Dan Knox on 2014-09-24 15:21:05

1717.

1718.

Name: Sarah C

Comments:



We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Fredricka Hunte	1. au	46912 N. Arestuncia ATHALM, AZ 850%	8/29/2014
Rotat Ana			
· John Rivera	Jakku	Anthem AZ. 85086	8/28/14
GERALDINE NAM	Gerelding Mano	40565 N. TERRITOR	8/28/14
Dorothy Burg	Dorothy Burch	Centrem ley 140549 W. Combay to	8/28/14
Bonnie Smi	4 Bom shite	039705 N BAK	EJ 8.28/4
Eric Vistion	5///	399/8 N. Majaky	\$5046
Shell in Source	SIA	47135V, Golso	18508C



We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, recansolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, ta pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
CHAD FISCHER	AL	41506 N. HUDSONTEL ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/29/14
Maite Norse	Mailelelle	1646.W.ainsworth AMTHEM AZ 85081	1
GrefHen C. Lolez		41933 D. Golf-CREST X ANTHEN, AZ 85086	8-29-14
WILLIAM L PUNNM	My Festimone	DE. ANTHEN ME STOR	
Charles (	Dalein	BOSS W. Sumit	9/2/A
Billy Hudson	Billy Dud	4810 IN Corpenter Dr Anthom 85687	9/2/14
}	Frances Walter	3816 W. ABHTON DR	9/2/14
1		Anthem Az 85086	



We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
STEPHEN R ONEILL	Meil	1902 W. Steinbeck ANTHEM, AZ 8508	9-2-14
Lois O'NEIL	F8B Stews	1902. W. Steinbeck Anther AZ 85086	9-2-14
Dennis Radler	W Ralle	40903 D Crockith Augen 85086	9-2-14
Steven Richey	Shot	41) SI N-LANCE VAI) of An Then, Az 85080	9-2-14
Chekoa Oyen	The state of the s	4437 W. Cotton talks Anthem AZ 85086	9-2-14
DOVE HANET	Tash	40712 N Copper Basin Anthem AZ 85006	9/2/14
Maryo Malen	Mary of hows	42417 N Crossim	bes 972/14
Janet Mullarkey	Janer Mullerky	40921 N. Lambest Trail Anthem AZ 85086	9/2/14

# anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
 DAN GUISINGER	ARA	2902 WHALEYA	9/shoa
 Rose Guisinga	Rose Suum	2901W. Halog Dr Anthon, A2	9/5/2014
BEVENLY BLASCIA	1/2/2	41710 NEMFAND LAKE DE ANTHEN AZ	0-1-111
ARTHUR IPPOLITO	Delhen Dagot &	11 11 4 11 11 4	9/5/14
JOY LOVELL	Just selle	21706 M CONGRESSING Don then DZ 85086	
Lori Addinator	Jan Alek	41034 N. Wild West TR Anthem, Az. 85086	9-5-14
Itelia Casea		255) W Portosenia Anullan AZ 85082	9/5/14
SANDRA MARTINO	2	LAICE D. JANTHEM,	916/14
		AZ	